

Continuous and discrete Neumann systems on Stiefel varieties as matrix generalizations of the Jacobi–Mumford systems.

Yuri N. Fedorov Božidar Jovanović

March 25, 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Jacobi–Mumford and the Neumann systems	4
2.1	The standard (odd order) Jacobi–Mumford systems	4
2.2	Discretizations of the Neumann system on T^*S^{n-1}	7
3	The Neumann systems on the Stiefel variety $V_{n,r}$	11
4	The spectral curve	15
4.1	Genus of the regularized spectral curve.	17
4.2	The "small" curve $\mathcal{C}$ and its genus.	20
5	Complex invariant manifolds	22
5.1	The Prym variety	22
5.2	The generalized Jacobian and affine Prym variety.	23
5.3	The eigenvector map	25
5.4	The complex manifolds $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_{red}, \mathcal{I}_h$	27
6	Integrable discretization of the Neumann system on $T^*V_{n,r}$	32
6.1	The map and its basic properties	32
6.2	Algebraic geometric description of $\mathfrak{B}_r$	37
6.3	Lagrangian description and billiards on $V_{n,r}$	40
7	Conclusion	42

1 Introduction

The classical integrable Neumann system on an n -dimensional sphere is known to be a toy model for the Jacobi–Mumford systems introduced in [42] and describing

translationally invariant flows on the Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves and represented by 2×2 Lax pairs.

During the last two decades various generalizations of the Jacobi–Mumford systems associated with families of r -gonal and generic curves were constructed ([48, 53, 46, 30]). The paper [25] also introduced such systems linearized on special types of Prym varieties isomorphic to Jacobians. All such generalizations are closely related to integrable flows on coadjoint orbits of the loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(r)$, with Lax matrices being rank r perturbations of a constant matrix. The complete algebraic geometric description of such flows in the generic case was given in the series of papers [17, 1, 2, 3].

There have also been several important results on discretizations (Bäcklund transformations) of the above systems, which are described by translations on the Jacobians of hyperelliptic, r -gonal, and generic curves, and which can be related to addition theorems for meromorphic functions on such Jacobians ([56, 36, 21]).

The present paper contributes to these fields of interest in two ways.

First, as a further generalization of the Jacobi–Mumford systems, we consider natural analogs of the Neumann system on the Stiefel variety $V_{n,r}$ ($r < n$), the set of $n \times r$ matrices X satisfying the constraints $X^T X = \mathbf{I}_r$. These analogs were first introduced by Reiman and Semenov [51] by presenting a "big" ($n \times n$) Lax pair, which is closely related to the Lax pair for the symmetric Clebsch–Perelomov rigid body problem [45]. For $r = 1$ it had been given by Moser in [40]. It also appears that for $r > 1$ the big Lax pair itself does not define yet the Neumann system on $V_{n,r}$ uniquely. Namely, there exists a family of integrable Neumann flows with different $SO(n)$ -invariant metrics on $V_{n,r}$ that possess the same big Lax representation.

Using the previous results of [33], in Section 3 we show that these analogs of the Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$ also admit "small" ($2r \times 2r$) Lax representations, all having the symplectic block form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}L(\lambda) &= [L(\lambda), N_\kappa(\lambda)], \\ L(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \\ \mathbf{I}_r - P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & -P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, P is the $n \times r$ -momentum satisfying the constraint $X^T P + P^T X = 0$, λ is a rational spectral parameter, and the matrix N_κ , which is not shown here, depends on a parameter κ of the metric.

The matrix $L(\lambda)$ can be regarded as a direct generalization of the 2×2 Lax matrix for the classical Neumann system ([42, 5, 30]) and fits to the already studied class of rank d perturbations of the constant matrix A . The latter are described by the Lax matrices of the form

$$Y + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathcal{N}_i}{\lambda - a_i}, \quad Y, \mathcal{N}_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(d),$$

where Y is constant and $\mathcal{N}_i$ depend on the variables of the corresponding dynamical system. However our $L(\lambda)$ belongs to the symplectic loop subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sp}}(2r) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(2r)$

and has a quite specific structure, moreover, Y is not diagonalizable, it contains a Jordan block. This causes the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}$ of $L(\lambda)$ to have extra strong singularities at the infinity. So, the previous results of [1, 2, 3] concerning the genus of $\mathcal{S}$, number of its infinite points, etc, need to be modified in order to treat this case.

We show that the Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$ are integrable in a non-commutative sense ([43]), and calculate the dimension δ of its generic minimal invariant tori.

Calculating the order of singularity and the genus of the regularized curve $\mathcal{S}$, taking into account the involution $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, we then demonstrate that, up to the action of a discrete group of reflections, generic complex invariant manifolds $\mathcal{I}_h$ of the Neumann systems on $T^*V_{n,r}$ are open subsets of *affine* Prym varieties $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ of the same dimension δ . The latter are algebraic noncompact subgroups of generalized Jacobian varieties $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}, \infty)$, the extensions of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S})$ by $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]}$.

It should be noted that affine Prym varieties appear as complex invariant manifolds in several classical integrable systems on Lie groups *before their reduction*, for example, the spatial rigid body motion in the Clebsch case of the Kirchoff equations (see e.g., [7]). However, to our knowledge, in the existing literature such manifolds were not given the corresponding algebraic description.

Next, the Marsden–Weinstein reduction of the Neumann systems on $T^*V_{n,r}$ by the group $SO(r)$ are also integrable, and their complex invariant tori are shown to be usual Prym varieties $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S})$ (again, up to the action of a discrete group). The same holds for the reductions of the systems on the cotangent bundle of the Grassmann variety $G_{n,r}$, which are integrable in the commutative sense.

Second, we construct a family of (multi-valued) integrable discretizations (Bäcklund transformations) $\mathfrak{B}_r : (X, P) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{P})$ of the Neumann system on $T^*V_{n,r}$. The family is parameterized by $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{C}$ and described by the $2r \times 2r$ intertwining relation (discrete Lax pair)

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda)M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = M(\lambda, \lambda_*)L(\lambda), \quad M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma(\lambda_*) & \mathbf{I}_r \\ (\lambda - \lambda_*)\mathbf{I}_r + \Gamma^2(\lambda_*) & -\Gamma(\lambda_*) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ depends on $\tilde{X}, \tilde{P}$ in the same way as $L(\lambda)$ depends on X, P , whereas $\Gamma(\lambda_*)$ depends on $X, \tilde{X}$ in a symmetric way. Again, the above relation is a direct matrix generalization of the 2×2 discrete Lax pair describing a Bäcklund transformation of the classical Neumann system (see [29, 36]). The Lagrangian description of the map is closely related to a discretization of the Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$ introduced in [41] in connection with factorization of matrix operators.

Each discretization map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ preserves the first integrals of the continuous system and geometrically is described by translations $\mathcal{T}$ on $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$. The translations are written explicitly in terms of r non-involutive points on the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}$ over the coordinate λ_* (i.e., eigenvalues of $L(\lambda_*)$). A choice of such points (partition) fixes the branch of the map and the corresponding translation T . Iterations of all possible translations generate a lattice of rank 2^{r-1} on the universal covering of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$. The above construction is similar to that used in [41] to describe the integrable discrete Frahm–Manakov top on $SO(n)$ and is actually based on the procedure of solving a matrix quadratic equation presented earlier in [47].

A similar matrix generalization can be made for the another discretization of the Neumann system on S^{n-1} proposed in [49], and which can be considered as an example of a generalization of the even Jacobi–Mumford systems.

In Conclusion we discuss further possible generalizations.

2 The Jacobi–Mumford and the Neumann systems

2.1 The standard (odd order) Jacobi–Mumford systems

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(R)$ be a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus g , whose affine part is given by the equation $\mu^2 = R(\lambda)$, where $R(\lambda)$ is a *monic* polynomial of degree $2g + 1$. We regard Γ as a compact Riemann surface having one infinite point ∞ . Consider a generic divisor of g points $P_1 = (\lambda_1, \mu_1), \dots, P_g = (\lambda_g, \mu_g)$ on Γ . Following Mumford [42], the curve and the divisor on it can be associated to three polynomials $U(\lambda), V(\lambda)$, and $W(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$U(\lambda_i) = 0, \quad V(\lambda_i) = \mu_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, g, \quad (2.1)$$

$$W(\lambda)U(\lambda) + V^2(\lambda) = R(\lambda). \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, the degrees of $U(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)$ are *at least* g and $g - 1$ respectively. For a fixed curve Γ given by $R(\lambda)$, the polynomial $W(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined from the condition (2.2). Then, if $U(\lambda)$ is monic of degree g , then $W(\lambda)$ is monic and has degree $g + 1$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\lambda) &= (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_g) = \lambda^g + u_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + u_g, \\ V(\lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^g \mu_k \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j} = v_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + v_g, \\ W(\lambda) &= \lambda^{g+1} + w_0 \lambda^g + w_1 \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots + w_g = (\lambda - \nu_1) \cdots (\lambda - \nu_{g+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now let $\mathcal{E}_g$ be a variety of all non-constant coefficients of $U(\lambda), V(\lambda), W(\lambda)$. As shown in [42], for a fixed $R(\lambda)$, formula (2.2) defines a set of equations on the coefficients which provides a purely algebraic description of an affine part of $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$, the Jacobian variety of the curve Γ . The coefficients themselves are meromorphic functions on the Jacobian.

The variety $\mathcal{E}_g$ itself can be completed to the fiber bundle $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ over the $2g + 1$ -dimensional space $\mathcal{R}$ spanned by the coefficients of $R(\lambda)$ and parameterizing odd order hyperelliptic curves $\Gamma = \Gamma(R)$ of genus g (the base), with fibers of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_g$ being the Jacobians of the curves.

Any translationally invariant vector flow on $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ can be extended (in different ways) to a vector flow on the whole space $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_g$, which leaves the fibers invariant. The latter flow is described as an integrable system of differential equations on the coefficients of the three polynomials, which is referred to as a Jacobi–Mumford or an (odd order) *master* system (see e.g., [42, 55, 26]).

Let $R \in \mathcal{R}$, $\Gamma = \Gamma(R)$ be the associated hyperelliptic curve and let $\mathcal{A} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ be the Abel mapping given by the following integral with the basepoint ∞

$$\mathcal{A}(P) = \int_{\infty}^P (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T, \quad P \in \Gamma,$$

where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ are independent holomorphic differentials on the curve Γ .

Consider a translationally invariant flow on $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ which is tangent to the image of the curve $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \subset \text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ at a finite point $\mathcal{A}(\lambda_*, \mu_*)$. Then, fixing $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{C}$, but not $R \in \mathcal{R}$, we get a vector flow on $\mathcal{E}_g$ or on $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_g$.

The flow can be represented in the following Lax form with λ as a rational parameter (see [55]):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{L}}(\lambda) &= [\mathbf{L}(\lambda), N(\lambda)] \\ \mathbf{L}(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} V(\lambda) & U(\lambda) \\ W(\lambda) & -V(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \\ N(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2(\lambda - \lambda^*)} \begin{pmatrix} -V(\lambda^*) & -U(\lambda^*) \\ -W(\lambda^*) - (\lambda - \lambda^*)U(\lambda^*) & V(\lambda^*) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{\mathbf{L}(\lambda^*)}{2(\lambda - \lambda^*)} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -U(\lambda^*) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Concerning the Lax equation (2.4), the coefficients of the spectral curve

$$\det(\mathbf{L}(\lambda) - \mu \mathbf{I}_2) = \mu^2 - V^2(\lambda) - W(\lambda)U(\lambda) = \mu^2 - R(\lambda) = 0$$

are the first integrals of the system, and we recover the family of hyperelliptic curves $\Gamma = \Gamma(R)$. When λ_* tends to ∞ , after an appropriate time rescaling, the limit flow is described by (2.4) with

$$N(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + (w_0 - u_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 1 To a fixed curve Γ and the given divisor $P_1 + \dots + P_g$ on it, one can associate the *dual divisor* $R_1 + \dots + R_{g+1}$ given by the zeros of the meromorphic function $f(\lambda, \mu) = (\mu + V(\lambda))/U(\lambda)$. In view of (2.1) and (2.3), $f(\lambda, \mu)$ have simple poles at the original divisor and ∞ , which implies

$$\mathcal{A}(P_1) + \dots + \mathcal{A}(P_g) + \mathcal{A}(\infty) = \mathcal{A}(R_1) + \dots + \mathcal{A}(R_g) + \mathcal{A}(R_{g+1}). \tag{2.6}$$

As follows from (2.2), one can also write $f = W(\lambda)/(\mu - V(\lambda))$. Hence, the coordinates $\nu_k = \lambda(R_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, g+1$ are the roots of $W(\lambda)$.

The Neumann system on S^{n-1} . The best known example of an integrable problem associated to the Jacobi–Mumford system is the Neumann system describing the motion of a point on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{\langle q, q \rangle = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ with the quadratic potential $V(q) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle q, Aq \rangle$, $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (see [44, 40]).

Upon introducing momentum $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) = \dot{q}$ and imposing the constraint $\langle p, q \rangle = 0$, the motion is described by the equations

$$\dot{q} = p, \quad \dot{p} = Aq + \nu q, \quad \nu = -\langle p, p \rangle - \langle q, Aq \rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.7)$$

which possesses the 2×2 Lax representation

$$\dot{L}(\lambda) = [L(\lambda), N(\lambda)], \quad L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i p_i}{\lambda - a_i} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} \\ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} & -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i p_i}{\lambda - a_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$N(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + \nu(p, q) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $a(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_1) \cdots (\lambda - a_n)$. For the polynomial Lax matrix

$$\mathbf{L}(\lambda) = a(\lambda)L(\lambda) \quad (2.10)$$

the characteristic equation $|\mathbf{L}(\lambda) - \mu \mathbf{I}_2| = 0$ has the form

$$\mu^2 = -a^2(\lambda) \left(\sum_{i < j}^n \frac{(q_i p_j - q_j p_i)^2}{(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_j)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

and gives the odd order hyperelliptic curve Γ of genus $g = n - 1$

$$\mu^2 = R(\lambda) = a(\lambda) (\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{n-1}). \quad (2.12)$$

The zeros $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$ of $R(\lambda)$ are real (see Lemma 4.5 in Chapter III of [42]) and they represent commuting integrals of the Neumann system.

One can then identify the 2×2 polynomial matrices (2.10) and (2.4) by setting $g = n - 1$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} U(\lambda) &= a(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} = \lambda^g + (-\operatorname{tr} A + \langle q, Aq \rangle) \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots, \\ V(\lambda) &= a(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i p_i}{\lambda - a_i} = \langle p, Aq \rangle \lambda^{g-1} + \cdots, \\ W(\lambda) &= a(\lambda) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} \right) = \lambda^{g+1} - (\operatorname{tr} A + \langle p, p \rangle) \lambda^g + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

which, in particular, implies

$$u_1 = -\operatorname{tr} A + \langle q, Aq \rangle, \quad v_1 = \langle p, Aq \rangle, \quad w_0 = -\operatorname{tr} A - \langle p, p \rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

Then the second matrix (2.9) coincides with (2.5). Hence the Neumann flow is linearized on the Jacobian of Γ , on which it is tangent to $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{Jac}(\Gamma)$ at its infinite point.

The above relations also give the parametrization of q_i^2 in terms of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$, the λ -coordinates of the points $P_1, \dots, P_g$ on Γ , which now play the role of the spheroconical coordinates on S^{n-1} :

$$q_i^2 = \frac{(a_i - \lambda_1) \cdots (a_i - \lambda_{n-1})}{\prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.14)$$

In addition, p_i^2 can be expressed in terms of λ -coordinates of the dual divisor $R_1, \dots, R_{g+1}$:

$$p_i^2 = \frac{(a_i - \nu_1) \cdots (a_i - \nu_n)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)}.$$

Since $p_i^2, q_i^2, p_i q_i$ are linear functions of the coefficients of U, V, W , they are meromorphic function on $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$. However, the coordinates p_i, q_i themselves do not have this property. According to [42], the following theorem holds

Theorem 2.1 1) *Complex invariant manifolds of the Neumann system with the constants of motion c_i factorized by the action of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ generated by reflections $(q_i, p_i) \rightarrow (-q_i, -p_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are open subsets of the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ of the spectral curve (2.12).*

2) *The complex invariant manifolds themselves are open subsets of unramified coverings of $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ obtained by doubling some of the period vectors of $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$.*

2.2 Discretizations of the Neumann system on T^*S^{n-1}

The first integrable discretization of the Neumann system (2.7) was found in [56] by using the approach of Lagrange correspondences and the idea of factorization of Lax operators (see [41] for the details).

Combining the results of [36, 54], below we consider the discretization map

$$\mathfrak{B} : T^*S^{n-1} \rightarrow T^*S^{n-1}, \quad (q, p) \rightarrow (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}),$$

written in the form containing an extra parameter $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\tilde{q} = A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*)(\gamma q + p), \quad \tilde{p} = -A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)q + A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*)(\gamma^2 q + \gamma p), \quad (2.15)$$

where¹ $A(\lambda_*) = \lambda_* \mathbf{I}_n - A = \text{diag}(\lambda_* - a_1, \dots, \lambda_* - a_n)$.

Multiplying the second vector equation by $\tilde{q}$ and using the first one, as well as constraints $\langle \tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rangle = 1$, $\langle \tilde{q}, \tilde{p} \rangle = 0$, one finds

$$\gamma = \langle \tilde{q}, A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)q \rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

The equations (2.15), (2.16) of the map were obtained in [29] in the context of Bäcklund transformations. For any λ_* , it preserves all the first integrals of the continuous Neumann system. Since the variable γ depends on both sets of variables

¹The above notation slightly differs from that of Chap. 21.6 of [54], where one should replace h^2 and Ω by $1/\lambda^*$ and $-A$, respectively.

in a symmetric way, relations (2.15), (2.16) describe the map $\mathfrak{B}$ in an implicit form, as most of Bäcklund transformations. The dynamics can be also written in terms of discrete Euler–Lagrange equations on S^{n-1} (e.g., see [54])

$$A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)(\tilde{q} + \underline{q}) = \beta q,$$

where $\underline{q}$ is the position on S^{n-1} previous to q and β is the multiplier ensuring that $q, \tilde{q}$ remain on S^{n-1} :

$$\beta = 2 \frac{\langle A(\lambda_*)^{-1/2} \tilde{q}, q \rangle}{\langle (A(\lambda_*)^{-1} \tilde{q}, \tilde{q}) \rangle}. \quad (2.17)$$

This form of equations with $A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) = J$ represents the Heisenberg model of a chain with classical spins and was originally studied by Veselov [56, 57]. The Heisenberg model has a natural formulation in the pseudo–Euclidean spaces as well (see [32]).

As was shown in [29], up to the action of the group of reflections $(p_i, q_i) \rightarrow (-p_i, -q_i)$, the above map is equivalent to the following intertwining relation (discrete Lax pair):

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda)M(\lambda|\lambda_*) = M(\lambda|\lambda_*)L(\lambda), \quad (2.18)$$

where $L(\lambda), \tilde{L}(\lambda)$ have the same structure as in (2.8) and depend on the “old” variables (p, q) and the “new” ones $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ respectively, and

$$M(\lambda|\lambda_*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & 1 \\ \lambda - \lambda_* + \gamma^2 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

Indeed, setting in (2.18) subsequently $\lambda = a_1, \dots, a_n$ and calculating the matrix $M(\lambda|\lambda_*) \mathbf{L}(\lambda) M^{-1}(\lambda|\lambda_*)|_{\lambda=a_i}$, one obtains

$$\tilde{q}_i = \pm \frac{\gamma q_i + p_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_* - a_i}}, \quad \tilde{p}_i = \pm \frac{(\gamma^2 + a_i - \lambda_*)q_i + \gamma p_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_* - a_i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.20)$$

which is equivalent to (2.15) if we fix sign “+” above.

The relations (2.15) can also be written in the equivalent alternative form

$$p = A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)\tilde{q} - \gamma q, \quad \tilde{p} = -A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)q + \gamma \tilde{q}. \quad (2.21)$$

To find the factor γ as a function of q, p , one applies the condition $\langle \tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rangle = 1$ to the first equation (2.15) and obtains the quadratic equation

$$\langle q, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle \gamma^2 + 2\langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle \gamma + \langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)p \rangle - 1 = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

which gives

$$\gamma = \frac{-\langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle \pm \sqrt{\langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle^2 - \langle q, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle (\langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)p \rangle - 1)}}{\langle q, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle},$$

or, in view of (2.11), (2.12),

$$\gamma = \frac{-\langle p, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle + \mu(\lambda_*)/a(\lambda_*)}{\langle q, A^{-1}(\lambda_*)q \rangle} = \frac{-V(\lambda_*) + \mu(\lambda_*)}{U(\lambda_*)}, \quad (2.23)$$

$\mu(\lambda_*)$ being the coordinate of one of the two points on the curve (2.12) over $\lambda = \lambda_*$.

As a result, the map $\mathfrak{B}$ given by (2.15), (2.16) preserves the same first integrals as the continuous Neumann system, and, in view of Theorem 2.1, its complex invariant varieties are open subsets of unramified coverings of the Jacobian of the curve Γ given by (2.12). As also follows from (2.23), the map $\mathfrak{B}$, as well as its inverse $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}$, is generally 2-valued.

Remark 2 Obviously, equations (2.15) describe a *real* map when $\mu(\lambda_*)$ is real, i.e., $R(\lambda_*) > 0$. In particular, $R(\lambda_*) > 0$ when $\lambda_* > a_1, \dots, a_n, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$ (see (2.12)).

Remark 3 Using the above formulas, one can prove that the composition of the two branches of $\mathfrak{B}$, corresponding to different choices of sign of $\mu(\lambda_*)$, gives the map $(q, p) \rightarrow (-q, -p)$. In Section 6 an analog of this property for the discrete system on $V_{n,r}$ will be considered.

Algebraic geometrical interpretation of the map (2.15), (2.16) was given in [56] (see also [41]) and, in the context of discretization of the Jacobi-Mumford systems, in [36]. Namely, let $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$ be the reduction of $\mathfrak{B}$ under the action of the reflection group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Hence, following Theorem 2.1, its generic invariant varieties are open subsets of $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$. Then, according to the above remark, the two branches of $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$ just represent a "shift back" and a "shift forward" maps.

Let, as above, $\mathcal{A} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ be the Abel map. In [56] and [36] the following theorem was proven.

Proposition 2.2 *The restriction of $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$ onto $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ is described by translation by the vector*

$$T = \mathcal{A}(P) - \mathcal{A}(\infty) = \mathcal{A}(P), \quad P = (\lambda_*, \mu_*). \quad (2.24)$$

Notice that, although the translation vector does not depend explicitly on the constants of motion, it depends on them via the moduli of the curve Γ . The above formula allows to write explicit solution for the trajectory $(q, p)_k$ in terms of hyperelliptic theta-functions associated to Γ , whose arguments depend linearly on the discrete time $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As follows from Proposition 2.2, the two branches of $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$ corresponding to $P = (\lambda_*, \mu_*)$, $(\lambda_*, -\mu_*)$ are just shifts by opposite vectors $\pm T$. This observation is consistent with Remark 3 above.

Special cases $\lambda_* = a_j$. According to formula (2.24), when $(\lambda_*, \mu_*) = (a_j, 0)$ the translation $\mathcal{T}$ is just a half-period in $\text{Jac}(\Gamma)$ and, in view of (2.23), the map $\mathfrak{B}$ is single-valued. As expected, double iteration $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}^2$ gives the same point in the Jacobian, on which $p_i^2, q_i^2, p_i q_i$ are meromorphic. On the other hand, $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) = \mathfrak{B}^2(q, p) = (-q, -p)$.

This can be checked directly: let us set $\lambda_* = a_j$ in (2.20). Since the denominator in j -th equations vanishes, for $\tilde{q}_j$ to be finite, one has to set $\gamma = -p_j/q_j$. Then the second equation in (2.20) gives $\tilde{p}_j = \gamma \tilde{q}_j$, i.e., $\tilde{p}_j/\tilde{q}_j = \gamma$, which, under the next iteration of $\mathfrak{B}$, implies $\tilde{\gamma} = -\gamma$. Using this relation and iterating (2.15) directly we get $\tilde{\tilde{q}} = -q$, $\tilde{\tilde{p}} = -p$ for any p, q .

In this sense, the original map $\mathfrak{B}$ with $\lambda_* = a_j$ represents the "imaginary unit map".

Continuous Limit. As also follows from (2.24), the vector T tends to zero when $\lambda_* \rightarrow \infty$, which must give the continuous limit of the map (2.15), (2.16). We shall describe this limit in details in Section 6, jointly with the discrete Neumann system on the Stiefel variety $V_{n,r}$.

Another discretization of the Neumann system and even order Jacobi–Mumford systems. In [49] Ragnisco found another integrable discretization of the Neumann problem, which has different first integrals in comparison with the classical case. It was assumed that the canonical variables (q, p) are subject to the constraints $\langle q, q \rangle = 1$, $\langle p, q \rangle = 1/2$, and the corresponding discretization mapping is

$$\tilde{q} = \frac{1}{\alpha}(Aq - \mathbf{u}q - p), \quad \tilde{p} = \alpha q, \quad (2.25)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \langle q, Aq \rangle - 1$, $\alpha^2 = \langle Aq - \mathbf{u}q - p \rangle^2$. If we replace $\mathbf{u}$ by the expression $\langle q, Aq \rangle - 2\langle p, q \rangle$, the mapping can be extended to the mapping on $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^n = \{(q, p) \mid \langle q, q \rangle = 1\}$. In this case the product $\langle p, q \rangle$ becomes a first integral.

The extended mapping (2.25) can be written in discrete Lax form

$$L_R(\lambda)M_R(\lambda) = M_R(\lambda)\tilde{L}_R(\lambda), \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$L_R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i p_i}{\lambda - a_i} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{\lambda - a_i} & -\frac{1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i p_i}{\lambda - a_i} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \alpha^2 & \lambda - \langle q, Aq \rangle + 2\langle p, q \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\tilde{L}_R(\lambda)$ depends on $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$ in the same way as $L_R(\lambda)$ does on (q, p) . For the case $\langle p, q \rangle = 1/2$ the discrete Lax representation was obtained in [50].

The characteristic equation $|a(\lambda)L_R(\lambda) - \mu I| = 0$ gives us an *even* order hyper-elliptic spectral curve of genus $g - 1$,

$$\mu^2 = a(\lambda)^2 \left(\sum_{i < j}^n \frac{(q_i p_j - q_j p_i)^2}{(\lambda - a_i)(\lambda - a_j)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i q_j}{\lambda - a_i} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \equiv -\frac{1}{4}a(\lambda)(\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_n), \quad (2.27)$$

$c_1, \dots, c_n$ being constants of motion.

Note that, in contrast to the discrete Neumann system given by (2.18), (2.19), the mapping (2.25) does not include a parameter, but has an explicit form. In fact, as shown in [21], (2.25) can be included to a family of generally 2-valued maps parameterized by $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{P}$. Each map of the family preserves the same integrals and describes a shift on the Jacobian of the curve (2.27) that depends on λ_* in a manner similar to that of Proposition 2.2. These maps can be regarded as discrete models of *even master systems* considered in [55, 36].

3 The Neumann systems on the Stiefel variety $V_{n,r}$

Recall that the Stiefel variety is the variety of ordered sets of r orthogonal unit vectors $e_1, \dots, e_r$ in the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, (\cdot, \cdot))$, or, equivalently, the set of $n \times r$ matrices $X = (e_1 \cdots e_r)$ satisfying the constraints $X^T X = \mathbf{I}_r$. Thus $V_{n,r}$ is a smooth subvariety of dimension $rn - r(r+1)/2$ in the space of $n \times r$ real matrices $M_{n,r}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{nr}$ and the components of X are redundant coordinates on it. Also, since the left $SO(n)$ -action on $V_{n,r}$ ($X \mapsto RX$, $R \in SO(n)$) is transitive, it can be realized as a homogeneous space $SO(n)/SO(n-r)$.

The cotangent bundle $T^*V_{n,r}$ can be realized as the set of pairs of $n \times r$ matrices (X, P) , $P = (p_1 \cdots p_r)$ that satisfy the constraints

$$X^T X = \mathbf{I}_r, \quad X^T P + P^T X = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The above realization of $T^*V_{n,r}$ is motivated by the natural generalization of the Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$ given below, however there are other natural realizations of $T^*V_{n,r}$ (see [8]).

The canonical symplectic structure ω on $T^*V_{n,r}$ is the restriction of the canonical 2-form $\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^r dp_s^i \wedge dx_s^i$ in the ambient space $T^*M_{n,r}(\mathbb{R})$. For our purposes it is convenient to work with the redundant variables (X, P) , in which the corresponding canonical Poisson structure $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $T^*V_{n,r}$ was explicitly described in [23] by using the Dirac construction [15, 40]. The Hamilton equations have the matrix form

$$\dot{X} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} - X\Pi, \quad \dot{P} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial X} + X\Lambda + P\Pi. \quad (3.2)$$

where Λ and Π are the $r \times r$ symmetric matrix multipliers uniquely determined from the condition that the trajectory $(X(t), P(t))$ satisfies the constraints (3.1).

The Lie groups $SO(n)$ and $SO(r)$ naturally act on $T^*V(n, r)$ by left and right multiplications respectively, with the equivariant momentum mappings given by

$$\Phi : T^*V(n, r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n), \quad \Phi = PX^T - XP^T (= p_1 \wedge e_1 + \cdots + p_r \wedge e_r), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Psi : T^*V(n, r) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(r), \quad \Psi = X^T P - P^T X. \quad (3.4)$$

Here we identified $\mathfrak{so}(n) \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)^*$ and $\mathfrak{so}(r) \cong \mathfrak{so}(r)^*$ by the use of the invariant metrics on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(r)$ defined by $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\eta_1 \eta_2)$.

Note that the actions of $SO(n)$ and $SO(r)$ commute and, in particular, the components of the momentum mapping, Φ_{ij} and

$$\Psi_{ij} = \langle e_i, p_j \rangle - \langle e_j, p_i \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq r, \quad (3.5)$$

are $SO(r)$ and $SO(n)$ -invariant functions, respectively.

The Neumann systems. By analogy with the system (2.7) on the sphere S^{n-1} , one defines a *Neumann on the Stiefel variety* $V_{n,r}$ as a natural mechanical system with an $SO(n)$ -invariant kinetic energy and the quadratic potential function

$$V = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(X^T A X) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \langle e_i, A e_i \rangle, \quad A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n). \quad (3.6)$$

Following [23], we consider a family $SO(n) \times SO(r)$ -invariant metrics ds_κ^2 defined by the kinetic energy

$$T_\kappa(X, P) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(P^T P) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right) \text{tr}((X^T P)^2),$$

κ being a parameter ($\kappa > -1$). In the class of the metrics ds_κ^2 we have the *normal metric* induced from a bi-invariant metric on $SO(n)$ ($\kappa = 0$) and the *Euclidean metric* induced from the Euclidean metric of the ambient space $M_{n,r}(\mathbb{R})$ ($\kappa = -1/2$).

The corresponding Hamiltonian flows are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X} &= P - (1 + 2\kappa) X P^T X, \\ \dot{P} &= A X + (1 + 2\kappa) P X^T P + X \Lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the Lagrange multiplier matrix does not depend on κ :

$$\Lambda = -X^T A X - P^T P. \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, the Neumann system with the *Euclidean metric* is given by

$$\dot{X} = P, \quad \dot{P} = A X + X \Lambda. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that, due to the $SO(r)$ -symmetry, both of the potential (3.6) and the metrics ds_κ^2 , the momentum mapping Ψ is the integral of the system (3.7). The difference between the two vector fields in (3.7) with different κ is proportional to $X' = -X P^T X$, $P' = P P^T X$. Since $X^T P = -P^T X = \frac{1}{2} \Psi$, the latter describes permanent (steady state) rotations of the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_r$ in $\mathbb{R}^r = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_r)$, similarly for the vectors $p_1, \dots, p_r$.

The geometry of Riemannian spaces $(V_{n,r}, ds_\kappa^2)$ is studied in [28]. It appears that the class of the metrics ds_κ^2 is suitable for studying other natural mechanical problems on $V_{n,r}$ such as a variant of the pendulum with a linear potential $V = \langle \gamma_1, e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle \gamma_r, e_r \rangle$ ($\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ are constant vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$), as well as the 4th degree potential $V = \text{tr}(X^T A^2 X) - \text{tr}(X^T A X X^T A X)$ (see [24]).

The "big" ($n \times n$) Lax representation The derivatives of the $SO(n)$ -momentum $\Phi = P X^T - X P^T$ and the symmetric matrix $X X^T$ along the flows (3.7) are given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi = -[X X^T, A], \quad \frac{d}{dt} (X X^T) = [\Phi, X X^T].$$

As a result, the following theorem holds

Theorem 3.1 [23] *For all κ , the equations (3.7) admit the same Lax representation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = [\mathcal{N}(\lambda), \mathcal{L}(\lambda)] \tag{3.10}$$

with a spectral parameter λ , where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ are $n \times n$ matrices given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \lambda \Phi + X X^T + \lambda^2 A, \quad \mathcal{N}(\lambda) = \Phi + \lambda A. \tag{3.11}$$

The Lax representation (3.10), (3.11) is closely related to that for the integrable Clebsch–Perelomov rigid body system [45] and for $r = 1$ it was given by Moser in [40]. It belongs to the class of the Lax matrix representations related to symmetric pairs decompositions of Lie algebras [51].

The ”small” ($2r \times 2r$) Lax representation.

Theorem 3.2 [23] *Up to the action of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ generated by n reflections*

$$(e_1^i, \dots, e_r^i, p_1^i, \dots, p_r^i) \longrightarrow (-e_1^i, \dots, -e_r^i, -p_1^i, \dots, -p_r^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.12)$$

the Neumann flows (3.7) are equivalent to the following $2r \times 2r$ matrix Lax representations with a rational spectral parameter λ

$$\frac{d}{dt}L(\lambda) = [L(\lambda), N_\kappa(\lambda)], \quad (3.13)$$

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \\ \mathbf{I}_r - P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & -P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$N_\kappa(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + 2\kappa) X^T P & \mathbf{I}_r \\ \lambda \mathbf{I}_r + \Lambda & -(1 + 2\kappa) P^T X \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.15)$$

the matrix $r \times r$ factor Λ being already defined in (3.8).

The statement is checked straightforwardly by using the constraints (3.1) and the matrix identity

$$A(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1} = (\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}A = \lambda(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_n. \quad (3.16)$$

Note that the small Lax pair (3.13)–(3.15) is a direct generalization of the 2×2 Lax pair (2.8), (2.9), and it was first given in unpublished manuscript [33]. Both Lax matrices, (3.14) and (3.11), produce the same first integrals and their spectral curves are birationally equivalent by the Wienstein–Aronszjn formula (see [3, 40]).

Integrability. From the Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ we obtain the set of commuting integrals $\mathfrak{F} = \{f_{k,i}(X, P)\}$ defined by

$$\text{tr}(\lambda(PX^T - XP^T) + XX^T + \lambda^2 A)^k = \sum_i f_{k,i} \lambda^i, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.17)$$

Apart from these integrals, which are $SO(r)$ –invariant, the Neumann flows also possess the non-commutative algebra of integrals $\mathfrak{A} = \Psi^*(\mathbb{R}[\text{so}(n)])$ of polynomials in Ψ_{ij} . As was shown in [23], the above systems are integrable in the non-commutative sense (i.e., they are superintegrable, [43, 38]) and the dimension of their invariant isotropic tori is less than the dimension of $V_{n,r}$.

To describe the geometric structure and the dimension of the invariant tori, consider the Poisson bracket Π on $\text{gl}(n)$, obtained from the canonical Lie–Poisson

bracket in the dual to the semi-direct product $\mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus_{\text{ad}} \text{Sym}(n)$, via identification of vector spaces $\mathfrak{gl}(n) \cong \mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus \text{Sym}(n) \cong \mathfrak{gl}^*(n)$ [23]. Then

$$\Theta : (T^*V_{n,r}, \{, \}) \mapsto (\mathfrak{gl}(n), \Pi), \quad (X, P) \mapsto \Theta(X, P) = PX^T - XP^T + XX^T$$

is a Poisson mapping, which is invariant with respect to the above right $SO(r)$ -action on (X, P) and the reflection $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (X, P) \mapsto (\pm X, \pm P)$. Thus, Θ can be regarded as the factorization

$$T^*V_{n,r} \longrightarrow \Theta(T^*V_{n,r}) \cong T^*V_{n,r}/SO(r)/\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Note that the integrals from the center $\mathfrak{I}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$, generated by $SO(r)$ -invariant polynomials $\text{tr}(\Psi^{2k})$, $k = 1, \dots, [r/2]$, induce the Casimir functions J_k , $k = 1, \dots, [r/2]$ of the Poisson space $\Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$.

The reduced system on $\Theta(V_{n,r}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ can be obtained as a restriction of an integrable n -dimensional top with symmetric inertia tensor moving in quadratic potential (in particular, the Bogoyavlenski generalization of the Clebsch–Perelomov system [11]). However, the image $\Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$ is the union of *singular* symplectic leaves in $(\mathfrak{gl}(n), \Pi)$. Namely, the generic symplectic leaf in $(\mathfrak{gl}(n), \Pi)$ has the dimension $n^2 - n$, while the dimension of a generic leaf in $\Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$ equals

$$2l = 2r(n - r) + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor < n^2 - n. \quad (3.18)$$

Thus, the integrability of the reduced Neumann systems does not follow directly from that of the above top. In [23] we proved that if all the eigenvalues of A are distinct, then the $SO(r)$ -invariant integrals $\mathfrak{F}$ induce a complete commutative set on a generic $2l$ -dimensional symplectic leaf $\mathcal{U}_c = \{J_k = c_k\} \subset \Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$. As a result, there are $l + r(r - 1)/2$ independent functions in $\mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{A}$ and its center $\mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{I}$ has $l + [r/2]$ independent functions². Since $\dim T^*V_{n,r} = (l + r(r - 1)/2) + (l + [r/2])$, by the theorem of the non-commutative integrability (see e.g., [31, 38, 43]), the set of polynomial $\mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{A}$ is a complete noncommutative set of functions on $T^*V_{n,r}$. This leads to

Theorem 3.3 [23] *Let all the eigenvalues of A be distinct. Then the Neumann systems (3.7) are completely integrable in the non-commutative sense with the commutative set of integrals given by (3.17) and by the components of the $SO(r)$ -momentum mapping (3.4). The generic motions of the systems, with the momentum Ψ of the maximal rank, are quasi-periodic over the isotropic tori of dimension*

$$\delta = l + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{1}{2} \left(2r(n - r) + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that there is also an alternative path to the reduced system. Namely, the symplectic leaves of the Poisson reduced space $T^*V_{n,r}/SO(r)$ are the Marsden–Weinstein reduced spaces $\Psi^{-1}(h)/SO(r)_h$, where $SO(r)_h = \{Q \in SO(r) \mid \text{Ad}_Q(h) =$

²In fact, it can be proved that the invariants $\mathfrak{I}$ are linear combinations of the polynomials in $\mathfrak{F}$.

$h\}$ is the isotropy subgroup of $h \in \mathfrak{so}(r)$ (e.g., see [37]). For a regular h , $SO(r)_h$ is the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}^{[r/2]}$. The factorization of $\Psi^{-1}(h)$ by $SO(r)_h \cong \mathbb{T}^{[r/2]}$ and by the reflection $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (X, P) \rightarrow (-X, -P)$ coincides with the corresponding leaf $\mathcal{U}_c \subset \Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$ with $J_k = c_k$, $c_k = \text{tr}(h^{2k})$, $k = 1, \dots, [r/2]$.

In this way we obtain the reduction of the restricted Neumann flows on $\Psi^{-1}(h)$ to the symplectic manifolds $\mathcal{U}_c$. The systems on $\mathcal{U}_c$ are integrable in the usual commutative sense: the invariant isotropic tori $\mathbb{T}^\delta$ laying on $\Psi^{-1}(h)$ reduce to the l -dimensional Lagrangian tori $\mathbb{T}^l$ laying on $\mathcal{U}_c$. These observations can be summarized in the following diagram, where the vertical arrows represent factorizations and the horizontal arrows the corresponding inclusions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*V(r, n) & \xleftarrow{\Psi=h} & \Psi^{-1}(h) \supset \mathbb{T}^\delta \\ \downarrow /SO(r)/\mathbb{Z}_2 & & \downarrow / \mathbb{T}^{[r/2]}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \mathfrak{gl}(n) \supset \Theta(T^*V(r, n)) & \xleftarrow{J_1=c_1, \dots, J_{[r/2]}=c_{[r/2]}} & \mathcal{U}_c \supset \mathbb{T}^l \end{array}$$

We do not consider intermediate cases, when rank of Ψ is not maximal, and proceed straight to the case $\Psi = 0$.

The case $\Psi = 0$ and reduction to the Grassmannian variety. The *oriented Grassmannian* $G_{n,r}$ is the variety of r -dimensional oriented planes passing through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is a quotient space of the Stiefel manifold $V_{n,r}$ with respect to the right $SO(r)$ -action via submersion $\pi(e_1 e_2 \cdots e_r) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r$, and its cotangent bundle $T^*G_{n,r}$ is symplectomorphic to the reduced space $\Psi^{-1}(0)/SO(r)$. Note that (X, P) belongs to $\Psi^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $X^T P = 0$. Thus, the reductions of the Neumann systems have the same kinetic energy given by a *normal metric* on $G_{n,r}$ for all κ .

The image $\Theta(\Psi^{-1}(0))$ is a single, $2(n-r)r$ -dimensional symplectic leaf $\mathcal{U}_0$ in $(\mathfrak{gl}(n), \Pi)$. Thus, Θ establishes an isomorphism between $T^*G_{n,r}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathcal{U}_0$.³ If all eigenvalues of A are distinct, then the integrals $\mathfrak{F}$ factor to a complete commutative set on $\mathcal{U}_0$ (see [23]). Therefore, the reduced Neumann flow on $T^*G_{n,r}$ is completely integrable in the usual Liouville sense.⁴

4 The spectral curve

The Lax matrix (3.14) is a particular case of so called rank ρ perturbations of the constant matrix $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, which generate Lax pairs of a great variety of integrable systems and have the form

$$L(\lambda) = Y + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathcal{N}_i}{\lambda - a_i}, \quad Y, \mathcal{N}_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(\rho), \quad (4.1)$$

³ Note that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on $G_{n,r}$ is trivial for even r : $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r \rightarrow (-1)^r e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r$.

⁴It can be proved that on the unreduced space $\Psi^{-1}(0)$ the invariant tori of the Neumann flows are also $r(n-r)$ -dimensional. That is, over each torus in the factor $\Psi^{-1}(0)/SO(r)$ there is $SO(r)$ -parametric family of tori in $\Psi^{-1}(0)$.

where Y is constant and $\mathcal{N}_i$ depend on the variables of the corresponding dynamical system. In our case $\rho = 2r$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^i \\ \vdots \\ e_r^i \\ -p_1^i \\ \vdots \\ -p_r^i \end{pmatrix} (p_1^i \quad \dots \quad p_r^i \quad e_1^i \quad \dots \quad e_r^i), \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

General cases of rank ρ perturbations corresponding to Y with a simple spectrum and the properties of the corresponding spectral curves were studied in detail in [17, 48, 2, 3]. The matrix (3.14) however has a quite special structure, and its spectral curve $\mathcal{S}$ has extra strong singularities. So, the previous results concerning its genus, number of infinite points, etc, should be adopted to our case. For this reason below we give our proper self-contained description.

Namely, multiplying $L(\lambda)$ in (3.14) by $a(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_1) \cdots (\lambda - a_n)$, we obtain the polynomial Lax matrix

$$\mathbf{L}(\lambda) = a(\lambda)L(\lambda), \quad (4.3)$$

defining the spectral curve

$$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^2\{\lambda, w\} : \quad F(\lambda, w) = \det(\mathbf{L}(\lambda) - w\mathbf{I}_{2r}) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

which is birationally equivalent to the spectral curve of the Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}$: $\det(\mathcal{L}(\lambda) - \nu\mathbf{I}_n) = 0$. By expanding $F(\lambda, w)$ in w we get

$$F(\lambda, w) = w^{2r} + w^{2r-2}a(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_2(\lambda) + \dots + w^2a^{2r-3}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r-2}(\lambda) + a^{2r-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r}(\lambda),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - l$ in λ with the leading coefficient $C_l^r = \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!}$. Their explicit expressions are given in [23].⁵

Due to the symplectic block structure of $L(\lambda)$, the coefficients at odd powers of w in $F(\lambda, w)$ are zero, so the spectral curve has the involution

$$\sigma : (\lambda, w) \rightarrow (\lambda, -w). \quad (4.5)$$

Note that, although the Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ is not invariant under the right $SO(r)$ -action, the spectral curve and therefore all the integrals $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ are invariant. As a simple counting shows, the number of nontrivial integrals on $T^*V_{n,r}$ provided by the coefficients of $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ equals $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + (n-r) = r(n-r) + r(r-1)/2$, which coincides with the dimension of the Stiefel variety. Since the number of integrals is bigger than half of the dimension (3.18) of a generic symplectic leaf within $(T^*V_{n,r})/SO(r)$, some of the integrals are dependent. Like the "big" Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ in (3.10), the small Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ does not produce explicitly the momenta integrals Ψ_{ij} , which should be counted apart.

⁵One should replace λ and A by $-\lambda$ and $-A$, respectively, to relate the small Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ and integrals $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ used here with the matrix $\mathcal{L}_{neum}^*(\lambda)$ and integrals $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ used in [23].

4.1 Genus of the regularized spectral curve.

From now on we consider the compactified version of the curve (4.4), identifying it with its projective closure in $\mathbb{P}^2 = (\xi : \eta : \zeta)$ such that $\lambda = \xi/\zeta$, $w = \eta/\zeta$.

First, note that in its finite part, $\mathcal{S}$ has singular points O_i over $\lambda = a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where all the branches of $w(\lambda)$ meet and all the partial derivative of $F(\lambda, w)$ vanish up to order $2r - 2$.

To perform a desingularization of $\mathcal{S}$ here, we use the fact that the eigenvectors of the polynomial matrix $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = a_i$ are proportional to those of $\mathcal{N}_i$ in (4.1). As was shown in [3], if $\text{rank } \mathcal{N}_i = k_i$, then $\mathbf{L}(a_i)$ has $2r - k_i$ independent eigenvectors. In our case, due to (4.2), all $\mathcal{N}_i$ have rank 1 and there are $2r - 1$ independent eigenvectors. Hence, the regularized curve $\mathcal{S}'$ in the projection

$$\mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{\lambda\}$$

has $2r - 1$ different points and one ordinary (second order) branch point P_{a_i} over $\lambda = a_i$.

Following the theory of singularities (see e.g., [34]), this implies that at O_i , the curve $\mathcal{S}$ has singularity (δ -invariant) of order

$$\delta_i = (2r - 1)(r - 1).$$

Singularity at the infinite part. The projective closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 = (\xi : \eta : \zeta)$ has only one infinite point $(0 : 1 : 0)$. Indeed, the structure of $F(\lambda, w)$ in (4.4) suggests that $w \cong O(\lambda^{(2n-1)/2})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, in the neighborhood of $(0 : 1 : 0)$, apart from the local coordinates ξ, ζ , it is convenient to use the weighted coordinates $t, \mathfrak{w}$ such that

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{t}, \quad w = \frac{\mathfrak{w}}{t^n} \quad \text{and, therefore,} \quad \xi = \frac{t^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{w}}, \quad \zeta = \frac{t^n}{\mathfrak{w}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Substituting this into (4.4) and multiplying by t^{2nr} , we obtain the equation

$$\mathfrak{w}^{2r} + \dots + \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \mathfrak{w}^{2r-l} (-t)^l + \dots + (-t)^r + o_r(\mathfrak{w}, t) \equiv (\mathfrak{w}^2 - t)^r + o_r(\mathfrak{w}, t) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where $o_r(\mathfrak{w}, t)$ denotes higher order terms $\mathfrak{w}^\alpha t^\beta$ with $2\alpha + \beta > r$. Thus the point $(t = 0, \mathfrak{w} = 0)$ indeed belongs to $\mathcal{S}$. The above equation also shows that $\mathcal{S}$ has a strong singularity at the infinity.

To desingularize the curve there, consider the expansion of the polynomial Lax matrix (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}(\lambda) & \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \\ \mathcal{W}(\lambda) & -\mathcal{V}^T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{V}_0 + \lambda^{n-2}\mathcal{V}_1 + \dots & \lambda^{n-1}\mathbf{I}_r + \lambda^{n-2}\mathcal{U}_1 + \dots \\ \lambda^n\mathbf{I}_r + \lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{W}_0 + \lambda^{n-2}\mathcal{W}_1 + \dots & -\lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{V}_0^T - \lambda^{n-2}\mathcal{V}_1^T + \dots \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

with the leading $r \times r$ matrix coefficients ⁶

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = X^T P, \quad \mathcal{V}_1 = X^T A P, \quad \mathcal{U}_1 = -\operatorname{tr} A \mathbf{I}_r + X^T A X, \quad \mathcal{W}_0 = -\operatorname{tr} A \mathbf{I}_r - P^T P,$$

which are $r \times r$ matrix generalizations of the coefficients (2.13). Note that the components of the coefficient $\mathcal{V}_0 = X^T P$ are first integrals of the system: $\mathcal{V}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Psi$.

Next, substituting (4.6) into the eigenvector equation

$$\mathbf{L}(\lambda)\psi = w\psi, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{P}^{2r-1},$$

we get the expansion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}_0 t + \mathcal{V}_1 t^2 + \cdots & \mathbf{I}_r t + \mathcal{U}_1 t^2 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{I}_r + \mathcal{W}_0 t + \mathcal{W}_1 t^2 + \cdots & -\mathcal{V}_0^T t - \mathcal{V}_1^T t^2 + \cdots \end{pmatrix} \psi(t) = \mathfrak{w}(t)\psi(t). \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, as $t \rightarrow 0$, the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ tend to those of $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which has r different eigenvectors with zero eigenvalue.

As a result, the regularized curve $\mathcal{S}'$ has r different points over $\lambda = \infty$, which are all ordinary branch points and will be denoted as $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$.

The structure of (4.9) allows to evaluate the expansions $\mathfrak{w}(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ in the neighborhood of each ∞_s .

Proposition 4.1 *1) Let rank of $\mathcal{V}_0 = X^T P \neq 0$ be maximal and r is odd. Then let*

$$\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{[r/2]}, -\nu_1, \dots, -\nu_{[r/2]}, 0\}$$

be the set of the eigenvalues of the matrix coefficient $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \operatorname{so}(r)$ and

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{[r/2]}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{[r/2]}, \mathbf{v}_0\}$$

be the corresponding eigenvectors normalized by

$$\langle \beta, \mathbf{v}_s \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \beta, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \beta, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle = 1,$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is any non-zero vector, not orthogonal to any eigenvector of $\mathcal{V}_0$. Then in the neighborhood of $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$ the expansions of $\mathfrak{w}(t)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{w}_s(t) &= t^{1/2} + \nu_s t + b_s t^{3/2} + \cdots, \\ \mathfrak{w}_{[r/2]+s}(t) &= t^{1/2} - \nu_s t + b_s t^{3/2} - \cdots, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2], \\ \mathfrak{w}_r(t) &= t^{1/2} + b_0 t^{3/2} + B_0 t^{5/2} + \cdots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

⁶We choose the indices of the coefficients in the same way as in (2.3)

and the corresponding expansions of $\psi(t)$ normalized by $\beta_1\psi_{[r/2]+1} + \dots + \beta_r\psi_{[r/2]+r} = 1$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_s(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_s t^{1/2} + \mathbf{h}_s t + O(t^{3/2}) \\ \mathbf{v}_s + \mathbf{h}_s t^{1/2} + O(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \psi_{[r/2]+s}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s t^{1/2} + \bar{\mathbf{h}}_s t + O(t^{3/2}) \\ \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s + \bar{\mathbf{h}}_s t^{1/2} + O(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \psi_r(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_0 t^{1/2} + \mathbf{h}_0 t + O(t^{3/2}) \\ \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{h}_0 t^{1/2} + O(t) \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Here b_s, b_0, B_0 are certain constants, and $\mathbf{h}_s, \bar{\mathbf{h}}_s, \mathbf{h}_0$ are constant vectors. The last expansions $\mathbf{w}_r(t), \psi_r(t)$ contain only odd powers of $t^{1/2}$.

2) If rank of $\mathcal{V}_0$ is maximal and r is even, then item 1) holds without the last expansions.

3) If $\mathcal{V}_0 = 0$, then all the expansions contain only odd powers of $t^{1/2}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to substitute the above expansions into (4.9) and compare the few leading terms in both sides. $\square$

The involution $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ acts on the expansions as $(t, \mathbf{w}(t)) \rightarrow (t, -\mathbf{w}(t))$. On the other hand, due to the presence of $t^{1/2}$, each expansion of Proposition 4.1 gives 2 branches of $\mathcal{S}'$ near ∞_s . Then, if rank of $\mathcal{V}_0 = X^T P$ is maximal, for $s = 1, \dots, [r/2]$, the second branch of $\mathbf{w}_s(t)$ obtained by replacing $\sqrt{t} \rightarrow -\sqrt{t}$ coincides with the first branch of $-\mathbf{w}_{[r/2]+s}(t)$ and vice versa. If r is odd, the two branches of $\mathbf{w}_r(t)$ transform to each other under the change $\mathbf{w} \rightarrow -\mathbf{w}$.

As a result, the infinite points of $\mathcal{S}'$ admit the natural division into the subsets

$$\begin{aligned}\{\infty_1^-, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^-\}, \quad \{\infty_1^+, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^+\} & \quad \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \{\infty_1^-, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^-\}, \quad \{\infty_1^+, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^+\}, \quad \text{and } \infty_0 & \quad \text{if } r \text{ is odd,}\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

such that $\sigma(\infty_j^-) = \infty_j^+$, $j = 1, \dots, [r/2]$ and $\sigma(\infty_0) = \infty_0$.

If $\mathcal{V}_0 = 0$, as follows from item (3) of the above proposition, all the infinite points $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$ are invariant with respect to the involution.

Using the expansions $\mathbf{w}_s(t)$ and the second pair of relations (4.6), one calculates the set of the Puiseux expansions of the coordinate ζ in powers of ξ in the neighborhood of the infinite point $(0 : 1 : 0)$. Then, applying the theory of singularities of algebraic curves (see e.g., [12, 34]), we calculate the order δ_∞ of singularity of $\mathcal{S}$ at $(0 : 1 : 0)^7$:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\infty &= 2nr(nr - 2r - 1) + 2r(r + 1) & \text{if rank } (X^T P) \text{ is maximal,} \\ \delta_\infty &= 2nr(nr - 2r - 1) + r^2 + \frac{3r(r + 1)}{2} & \text{if } X^T P = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

⁷These long calculations are due to Maria Alberich (UPC)

Now, summing the singularity orders δ_∞ and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ and taking into account that the degree of the curve $\mathcal{S}$ equals $N = n(2r - 1) + (n - r)$, we use the Plücker formula to get the following result.

Theorem 4.2 *The geometric genus of $\mathcal{S}$ equals*

$$g = \frac{(N - 1)(N - 2)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \delta_\infty = 2nr - n - \frac{3}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r + 1 \quad (4.14)$$

if $\text{rank}(X^T P) = 2[r/2]$,

if $X^T P = 0$.

$$g = 2r(n - r) - n + 1$$

4.2 The "small" curve $\mathcal{C}$ and its genus.

In view of the involution (4.5), the curve $\mathcal{S}$ is a 2-fold ramified covering of the curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2(u, \lambda)$, $u = w^2$, given by the equation

$$\mathcal{C} : u^r + u^{r-1}a(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_2(\lambda) + \dots + u \cdot a^{2r-3}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r-2}(\lambda) + a^{2r-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r}(\lambda) = 0$$

Making the birational transformation $(\lambda, u) \rightarrow (\lambda, \mu)$ with $u = a(\lambda)\mu$, we see that the equation of $\mathcal{C}$ gives the lines $\{\lambda = a_i\}$ and the curve

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} : \mu^r + \mu^{r-1}\mathcal{I}_2(\lambda) + \dots + \mu a^{r-2}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r-2}(\lambda) + a^{r-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{I}_{2r}(\lambda) = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

The latter is singular over $\lambda = a_1, \dots, a_n$ and at its infinite part. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be a complete regularization of the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the regularized curve $\mathcal{S}'$ is a 2-fold covering of $\mathcal{C}'$.

The covering $\pi : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is branched when $\mu = 0$, that is, when $\lambda = a_i$ or λ is a simple root of the last polynomial $\mathcal{I}_{2r}(\lambda)$ of degree $n - r$ in (4.15). As mentioned above, the projection $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = \{\lambda\}$ has only one ordinary branch point P_{a_i} over $\lambda = a_i$, hence P_{a_i} is also the only branch point of the covering π over $\lambda = a_i$. (This means that the projection $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = \{\lambda\}$ is not ramified over $\lambda = a_i$.) The roots of $\mathcal{I}_{2r}(\lambda)$ give other $n - r$ ordinary branch points of π . Therefore, π has always $2n - r$ finite ordinary branch points.

Next, as follows from the expansions $\mathfrak{w}(t)$ of Proposition 4.1, if $\text{rank}(\Psi) = 2[r/2]$ and r is odd, the covering π is also ramified at only one of the infinite points $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$, where the two branches of $\mathfrak{w}_s(t)$ pass to each other under the involution σ , and it is not ramified over infinity when r is even.

If $\Psi = 0$, then, following item 3) of Proposition 4.1, the two branches of $\mathfrak{w}_s(t)$ pass to each other under σ for any $s = 1, \dots, r$, which gives r infinite ordinary branch points of π .

As a result, the covering $\pi : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ has in total $2n - 2[r/2]$ ordinary branch points if $\text{rank}(\Psi) = 2[r/2]$, and $2n$ ordinary branch points if $\Psi = 0$. Then, according to the Riemann–Hurwitz formula,

$$g = \text{gen}(\mathcal{S}') = 2(\text{gen}(\mathcal{C}') - 1) + \frac{2n - 2[r/2]}{2} + 1, \quad \text{if } \text{rank}(\Psi) = 2[r/2], \quad (4.16)$$

$$g = 2(\text{gen}(\mathcal{C}') - 1) + \frac{2n}{2} + 1, \quad \text{if } \Psi = 0.$$

In view of (4.14), this gives,

Proposition 4.3 *If $\text{rank}(\Psi) = 2[r/2]$,*

$$g_0 = \text{gen}(\mathcal{C}') = \begin{cases} n(r-1) - 3(r^2-1)/4 & r \text{ is odd,} \\ n(r-1) - 3r^2/4 + 1 & r \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

and, if $\Psi = 0$,

$$g_0 = r(n-r) + n - 1. \quad (4.18)$$

In the next section the genera of $\mathcal{S}'$, $\mathcal{C}'$ will be used to calculate the dimension of the Abelian subvarieties of the Jacobian of $\mathcal{S}'$, which are related to complex invariant tori of the system.

The case $r = 2$. In this simplest case it is possible to calculate the genera g_0, g without tedious calculations based on the above Puiseux expansions. Namely, the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in (4.15) takes the simple form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} : \mu^2 + \mu \mathcal{I}_2(\lambda) + a(\lambda) \mathcal{I}_4(\lambda) = 0.$$

which, under the birational change $\mu = -\mathcal{I}_2(\lambda)/2 + y/2$, gives the Weierstrass hyperelliptic form

$$y^2 = \mathcal{I}_2^2(\lambda) - 4a(\lambda) \mathcal{I}_4(\lambda). \quad (4.19)$$

To find its genus, note that here $\mathcal{I}_2(\lambda), \mathcal{I}_4(\lambda)$ are polynomials of degrees $n-1, n-2$ respectively, hence one might expect the right hand side of (4.19) to be a polynomial of degree $2n-2$. However, in the general case (when $\Psi \neq 0$), the degree is $2n-3 = 2(n-2) + 1$ (in view of the leading coefficients of $\mathcal{I}_4(\lambda), \mathcal{I}_2(\lambda)$, the coefficient at the leading power λ^{2n-2} vanishes). Then the regularization $\mathcal{C}'$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has genus $g_0 = n-2$ and one infinite point.

Next, as was counted above, for $r = 2$ and $\Psi \neq 0$, the covering $\pi : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ has in total $2n-2$ ordinary ramification points. Hence, by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula (4.16), the genus of the "big" curve $\mathcal{S}'$ is

$$g = 2(n-2-1) + (2n-2)/2 + 1 = 3n-6,$$

and $\mathcal{S}'$ has 2 infinite points over $\lambda = \infty$, which pass to each other under the involution σ .

In the case $\Psi = 0$ the right hand side of (4.19) becomes a polynomial of degree $2n-4$, the curve $\mathcal{C}'$ has now 2 infinite points, its genus drops to g_0-3 , whereas the covering π has $2n$ ordinary ramifications. All this yields $g = 3n-7$.

Remark 4 In the general case $r > 2$, the curve $\mathcal{C}'$ is not hyperelliptic, and to calculate its genus directly (i.e. without relating it to the upperlying curve $\mathcal{S}$), we need to know the number of finite points of ramification of $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = \{\lambda\}$. This could be done by calculating the degree of the discriminant of the polynomial (4.15) in μ , however, due to quite special values of the leading coefficients of $\mathcal{I}_{2l}(\lambda)$ in (4.4), the correct degree is much less than the expected one, and is quite hard to be found for the general n, r . This effect is due to the strong singularities of $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}$ at their infinite points.

5 Complex invariant manifolds

From the curve $\mathcal{S}'$ we pass to its Jacobian variety, $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$, defined as the additive group of degree zero divisors on $\mathcal{S}'$ modulo divisors of meromorphic functions. Equivalently, in some cases we will consider effective divisors D as elements of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ by choosing a basepoint $P_0 \in \mathcal{S}'$ and associating to D the degree zero divisor $D - NP_0$, where N is the degree of D .

5.1 The Prym variety

The involution $\sigma : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, $\sigma(\lambda, w) \rightarrow (\lambda, -w)$ extends to the Jacobian of $\mathcal{S}'$. Hence $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ contains two Abelian subvarieties: the Jacobian of the "small" underlying curve $\mathcal{C}'$ of dimension $g_0 = \text{genus } \mathcal{C}'$ and $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ of dimension $k = \text{gen}(\mathcal{S}') - \text{gen}(\mathcal{C}')$. The vectors of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}') \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ are invariant with respect to σ , whereas the vectors of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ are anti-invariant.

Algebraically, $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ is defined as the set of degree zero divisors $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{S}'$ such that $\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}$ is the divisor of a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{S}'$. For a given degree zero divisor $\mathcal{H}$, a translate of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ is the set of degree zero divisors $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{H},$$

where $\equiv$ denotes the equivalence modulo divisors of meromorphic functions on $\mathcal{S}'$.

The variety $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ is isogeneous (but not isomorphic) to $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}') \times \text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$. A detailed algebraic description of Prym varieties in the case of a general double covering $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ ramified at $N > 2$ points is given in [19] (Chapter V). Following this description and our previous calculations, $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ is an Abelian variety with polarization $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{g_0}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n - [r/2] - 1})$ if $\text{rank } \Psi$ is maximal and $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{g_0}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-1})$ if $\Psi = 0$.

From the expressions (4.14), (4.17), and (4.18) we immediately obtain

Proposition 5.1 *1) For generic constants of motion, the dimension k of the Abelian subvariety $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ equals*

$$l = \frac{1}{2} \left(2r(n-r) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor \right),$$

which coincides with the number of independent and commuting integrals $\mathfrak{F}$ and with one half of the dimension of a generic symplectic leaf $\mathcal{U}_c$ in $\Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$.

2) In the special case $\Psi = 2X^T P = 0$ the dimension of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ is $r(n-r)$, which coincides with the dimension of $G_{n,r}$.

Note that in the simplest case $r = 2$ (and only in this case), the dimension of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ equals $2(n-2)$, i.e., the dimension of the Grassmanian $G_{n,2}$, regardless to whether $\Psi = 0$ or not.

5.2 The generalized Jacobian and affine Prym variety.

Assuming that the rank of the momentum Ψ is maximal, we also introduce the generalized Jacobian of the singularized curve $\mathcal{S}''$ obtained from $\mathcal{S}'$ by gluing pairwise the involutive infinite points ∞_s^-, ∞_s^+ , $s = 1, \dots, [r/2]$ described in (4.12). Namely

$$\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \{\widehat{\infty}_1, \dots, \widehat{\infty}_{[r/2]}\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{\infty_1^-, \infty_1^+, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^-, \infty_{[r/2]}^+\}$$

so that $\widehat{\infty}_1, \dots, \widehat{\infty}_{[r/2]}$ are ordinary double points of $\mathcal{S}''$. Then a meromorphic function f on $\mathcal{S}'$ will be also meromorphic on $\mathcal{S}''$ if $f(\infty_s^-) = f(\infty_s^+)$.

The generalized Jacobian of $\mathcal{S}''$, denoted as $\widehat{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is then defined as the set of degree zero divisors $\mathcal{P}$ on the affine part $\mathcal{S}_0$ considered modulo ∞ -equivalence: $\mathcal{P} \equiv_\infty \mathcal{Q}$ if there exists a meromorphic function $f(p)$ on the regular curve $\mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$1) (f) = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}, \quad 2) f(\infty_s^-) = f(\infty_s^+) \neq 0, \infty, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2]$$

(note that the values $f(\infty_i^\pm), f(\infty_j^\pm)$ for $i \neq j$ can be different).⁸

Thus, there is an exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} (\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]} \xrightarrow{v} \widehat{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}') \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.1)$$

where, for $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{[r/2]}) \in \mathbb{C}^{*[r/2]}$, the image $v(\rho)$ is the divisor of any meromorphic function $f(p)$ on $\mathcal{S}'$ satisfying

$$f(\infty_s^+)/f(\infty_s^-) = \rho_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2], \quad (5.2)$$

and for any degree zero divisor $\mathcal{P}$, $\phi(\mathcal{P})$ gives a point in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$.

The analytical description of $\widehat{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is following: let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be g independent holomorphic differentials on $\mathcal{S}'$ and Ω_j , $j = 1, \dots, [r/2]$ be meromorphic differential of the 3rd kind having a pair of simple poles at ∞_j^+, ∞_j^- respectively. One can always normalize the meromorphic differentials in such a way that they will be anti-invariant under the involution: $\sigma^* \Omega_j = -\Omega_j$.

Next, let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g(z_1, \dots, z_g)$ be the lattice generated by vectors of periods of $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^T$ with respect to a basis in $H_1(\mathcal{S}', \mathbb{Z})$. Respectively, let $\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{C}^{g+[r/2]}(z_1, \dots, z_g, Z_1, \dots, Z_{[r/2]})$ be the lattice generated by vectors of periods of

$$(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{[r/2]})^T$$

with respect to a basis in $H_1(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathbb{Z})$ formed by $2g$ canonical cycles on $\mathcal{S}'$ and the homology zero cycles embracing $\infty_1^+, \dots, \infty_{[r/2]}^+$. The lattice Λ' has rank $2g + [r/2]$, and the generalized Jacobian $\widehat{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is the factor $\mathbb{C}^{g+[r/2]}/\Lambda'$, which is a non-compact algebraic group. Topologically, it is the product of the customary Jacobian $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}') = \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$ and of $[r/2]$ cylinders $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, however algebraically its

⁸The above definition should be distinguished from the other kind of generalized Jacobians, when several points of a smooth curve are glued to the same point, as described in [10, 52, 26]. Both kinds include the simplest case when the singularized curve has only one double point.

structure is more complicated in the sense that its period lattice Λ' is not a direct product of the period lattices of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ and of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]}$. The above map ϕ is just the projection

$$\phi(z_1, \dots, z_g, Z_1, \dots, Z_{[r/2]}) = (z_1, \dots, z_g). \quad (5.3)$$

The relation between the above analytical and algebraic descriptions of $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is given by the generalized Abel map with a basepoint $P_0 \in S_0$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(p) = \int_{P_0}^P (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{[r/2]})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{g+[r/2]}, \quad P \in S_0.$$

A general point in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is the Abel image of an effective divisor of degree $\geq g + [r/2]$ on $\mathcal{S}_0$. The inversion of the generalized Abel map in terms of generalized theta-functions is described in [13, 20].

A generalization of the Abel theorem says that two effective divisors $\mathcal{P} = P_1 + \dots + P_N$, $\mathcal{Q} = Q_1 + \dots + Q_N$ on $\mathcal{S}_0$ are ∞ -equivalent ($\mathcal{P} \equiv_\infty \mathcal{Q}$) if and only if

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P_1) + \dots + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P_N) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(Q_1) + \dots + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(Q_N)$$

modulo the period lattice Λ' .

Note that due to this definition, two divisors $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ on $\mathcal{S}_0$ can correspond to the same point on $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S})$, but to different points on $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$.

The affine Prym variety. The involution (4.5) extends to $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, which then contains two algebraic subgroups: one is invariant with respect to σ and the other is anti-invariant. The first is just the usual Jacobian $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}')$ of dimension g_0 . The second is the *affine Prym subvariety*⁹ $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \subset \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, which can be defined as the set of degree zero divisors $\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{S}_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} + \sigma\mathcal{P} &= \{ \text{a divisor of a meromorphic function } f(p) \\ &\quad \text{with } f(\infty_s^+) = f(\infty_s^-) \neq 0, \infty, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2] \} \\ &= \text{the origin in } \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of the map $v : (\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]} \mapsto \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, the action of σ on the set $v((\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]})$ is given by

$$\sigma(v(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{[r/2]})) = v(1/\rho_1, \dots, 1/\rho_{[r/2]}).$$

Then we obtain

Lemma 5.2 *The set $v((\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]})$ belongs to $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$. The involution σ on it has $2^{[r/2]}$ fixed points $v(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$, which are also half-periods in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ and in $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.*

⁹We do not use term "generalized Prym variety", quite natural in this situation, since it is related to another construction considered in [9].

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the divisor of any meromorphic function $f(p)$ on $\mathcal{S}'$ satisfying (5.2), and let $f_\sigma(p) = f(\sigma(p))$ so that $f_\sigma(\infty_s^+)/f_\sigma(\infty_s^-) = 1/\rho_s$. Then $(f \cdot f_\sigma) = \mathcal{P} + \sigma\mathcal{P}$ and $f(\infty_s^+)f_\sigma(\infty_s^+) = f(\infty_s^-)f_\sigma(\infty_s^-)$, hence, by the definition, $\mathcal{P}$ belongs to $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.

Next, if $\mathcal{P}$ is the divisor of a meromorphic function $f(p)$ satisfying $f(\infty_s^+) = \pm f(\infty_s^-)$, then $2(f^2) = \mathcal{P}$ and $f^2(\infty_s^+) = f^2(\infty_s^-)$. That is, $2\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to the origin in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, and $\mathcal{P}$ is a half-period of the generalized Jacobian and of the affine Prym subvariety. $\square$

By the above lemma, the exact sequence (5.1) implies the following sequence of groups

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} (\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]} \xrightarrow{v} \widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\phi(v((\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]})) = 0$, the sequence is also exact.

It follows that, like $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, the variety $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ is non-compact and has dimension $g + [r/2] - g_0 = l + [r/2]$, which, in view of Proposition 5.1, equals δ , the dimension of generic invariant tori in $T^*V_{n,r}$.

The generalized Jacobian $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is isogeneous (but not isomorphic) to the product $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}) \times \widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.

5.3 The eigenvector map

To proceed further, we need to recall some basic notions relating Lax matrices and Abelian varieties, and we follow the description of [17, 35, 3, 10, 51].

Let $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ be a $d \times d$ polynomial Lax matrix and S be its spectral curve (with its infinite points), which is assumed to be regular or appropriately regularized, of geometric genus g . $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ defines the eigenvector bundle $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ given by the eigenvectors $\psi(P) = (\psi^1(P), \dots, \psi^d(P))^T$, $P \in S$ of $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$.

Impose a normalization $\langle \alpha, \psi(P) \rangle = 1$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2r})^T \in \mathbb{P}^{2r-1}$ is an arbitrary constant vector, and consider the *minimal* effective divisor $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ on S such that

$$\left(\psi^l(P) \right) = \text{zeros of } \psi^l(P) - \text{poles of } \psi^l(P) \geq -\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \quad l = 1, \dots, d,$$

that is, each of the normalized components $\psi^l(P)$ can have poles *at most* at the points of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. These points can be found as common zeros of certain polynomials of λ, w (see, e.g., [3]). Also

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) = g + d - 1 = N. \quad (5.4)$$

(One can always choose such a normalization α that $d - 1$ points of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ will be fixed in the infinite part of S .)

It is known that two divisors $\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha'}$ corresponding to different normalizations α, α' are linearly equivalent. Therefore, for a basepoint $P_0 \in S$, the degree zero divisors $\mathcal{D}_\alpha - NP_0, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha'} - NP_0$ give the same point in $\text{Jac}(S)$, more precisely, in the open subset $\text{Jac}(S) \setminus \Theta$, with $\Theta \in \text{Jac}(S)$ being a translate of the theta-divisor, the Abel image of all the special divisors on S .

Let now $\mathcal{I}_S$ be *the isospectral manifold*: the set of all the above matrices $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ having the same spectral curve S . Thus we get *the eigenvector map* $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{I}_S \rightarrow \text{Jac}(S)$.

If the equivalence class $\{\mathcal{D}\}$ is the image of a matrix $\mathbb{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_S$, the latter can be reconstructed up to a conjugation by an element of the group $\mathbb{PGL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ (not depending of λ). The main steps of this are as follows: Let $L(\mathcal{D})$ be the vector space of meromorphic functions $f(P)$ on S with $(f) \geq \mathcal{D}$ (it includes $f \equiv 1$). According to the Riemann–Roch theorem, for a non-special degree N divisor $\mathcal{D}$, $\dim(L(\mathcal{D})) = N - g + 1$, hence, in the considered case, $\dim(L(\mathcal{D}))$ is precisely d .

There exists a basis $\{f_1(P), \dots, f_d(P)\}$ in $L(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathbf{f}(P) = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^T$, $P = (\lambda, \mu) \in S$ is an eigenvector¹⁰ of $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$. To reconstruct $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$, choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with distinct eigenvalues $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d$ and consider the $d \times d$ matrix

$$\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{f}(P_1) \cdots \mathbf{f}(P_d)), \quad P_j = (\lambda, \mu_j).$$

Then the matrix

$$\mathcal{X}(\lambda) = \mathbf{F}(\lambda) \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\lambda) \quad (5.5)$$

is independent of the order of $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d$, is, in fact, polynomial in λ , and has the prescribed spectral curve S . It reconstructs the matrix $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ up to a conjugation by a constant matrix (see e.g., [17, 2]).

Clearly, two matrices $\mathbb{L}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_S$ that are conjugated by a constant element of $\mathbb{PGL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ have equivalent divisors $\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. The inverse is also true: $\mathcal{D} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ means the existence of a meromorphic function $g(P)$ on S with $(g) = \mathcal{D} - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Let the components of $\mathbf{f}(P), \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(P)$ form bases of $L(\mathcal{D}), L(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ respectively. Then the components of $g(P)\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(P)$ form a basis of $L(\mathcal{D})$, and there is a non-degenerate matrix $R \in GL(d, \mathbb{C})$ independent of P such that $\mathbf{f}(P) = g(P)R\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(P)$.

It follows that the induced map

$$\mathcal{M} : \{\mathbb{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_S \text{ up to conjugation by a matrix of } \mathbb{PGL}(d, \mathbb{C})\} \mapsto \text{Jac}(S) \setminus \Theta$$

is injective.

In the general important case when the leading coefficient of $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ is a constant diagonalizable matrix J and there are no constraints on the other coefficients, the subgroup is the stabilizer of J , i.e., the product $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-1}$. Then there exists the factor variety $\mathcal{I}_S / (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-1}$ and, as was shown in many publications, if the curve is smooth, the induced eigenvector map

$$\mathcal{I}_S / (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-1} \mapsto \text{Jac}(S) \setminus \Theta$$

is an isomorphism ([17, 10, 51, 2]). The inverse map is described explicitly by means of theta-functions associated to the curve S .

If the leading coefficient J is not diagonalizable and/or $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ belongs to a subgroup of $\tilde{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$, the above result should be refined (one must consider the equivalence by conjugations by elements of a subgroup of $\mathbb{PGL}(d, \mathbb{C})$). Some cases of that have been considered in [27, 58, 16].

¹⁰It is always supposed that $\mathbf{f}$ is normalized, i.e., its components do not have a common zero

In addition, some general properties of the eigenvector map in our case, when $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ belongs to the loop subalgebra $\tilde{sp}(2r, \mathbb{C})$, were already studied in [2] (Section 5, case *ii*).

5.4 The complex manifolds $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_{red}, \mathcal{I}_h$.

Consider the Neumann system on the complexified cotangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*V_{n,r}$ defined by the constraints (3.1) in the complex domain. Let us fix generic values of all the $l + [r/2]$ independent commuting integrals

$$\Theta^*\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}, \quad \text{tr}(\Psi^2), \dots, \text{tr}(\Psi^{2[r/2]})$$

described in Section 3. This also fixes the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}'$ of the "small" $2r \times 2r$ Lax matrix (3.14), (4.3), which belongs to the loop subalgebra $\tilde{sp}(2r, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}^*V_{n,r}$ be the corresponding isospectral manifold, which then has the (complex) dimension

$$\delta + r(r-1)/2 - [r/2] = l + \dim SO(r)$$

with δ given by (3.19).

The manifold $\mathcal{I}$ and the curve $\mathcal{S}'$ are invariant with respect to the right action of the complex group $SO(r, \mathbb{C})$ on (X, P) , as well as to the action of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ of reflections (3.12). Moreover, the product $SO(r, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the maximal group leaving $\mathcal{I}$ invariant. The reduced manifold $\mathcal{I}_{red} = \mathcal{I}/SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the complex extension of the corresponding l -dimensional invariant isotropic torus of the reduced Neumann system on $T^*V(n, r)/SO(r)/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \cong \Theta(T^*V(n, r))/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

Next, the complex isospectral manifold $\mathcal{I}$ itself is foliated by complexified δ -dimensional invariant isotropic tori

$$\mathcal{I}_h = \mathcal{I} \cap \Psi^{-1}(h) = \{(X, P) \in \mathcal{I} \mid \Psi = h\},$$

the joint level varieties of the extra non-commuting integrals of the set $\{\Psi_{ij}\}^{11}$.

As follows from the above, the factor of each $\mathcal{I}_h$ by the direct product $\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^*}_{[r/2] \text{ copies}}$,

which is the complex stabilizer of the momentum $h \in \mathfrak{so}(r, \mathbb{C})$ in $SO(r, \mathbb{C})$ and by the group of reflections $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ coincides with $\mathcal{I}_{red}$. This can also be seen as follows: the action

$$X \mapsto Xg, \quad P \mapsto Pg, \quad g \in SO(r, \mathbb{C})$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{V}_0 + \dots & \lambda^{n-1}\mathbf{I}_r + \dots \\ \lambda^n\mathbf{I}_r + \lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{W}_0 + \dots & -\lambda^{n-1}\mathcal{V}_0^T + \dots \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \\ \begin{pmatrix} g^T & 0 \\ 0 & g^T \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1}g^T\mathcal{V}_0g + \dots & \lambda^{n-1}\mathbf{I}_r + \dots \\ \lambda^n\mathbf{I}_r + \lambda^{n-1}g^T\mathcal{W}_0g + \dots & -\lambda^{n-1}g^T\mathcal{V}_0^Tg + \dots \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

¹¹In the simplest non-trivial case $r = 2$ the manifold $\mathcal{I}_h$ coincides with $\mathcal{I}$.

which changes neither the structure of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$, nor the spectral curve, but may change the block $\mathcal{V}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Psi$ of the $\mathfrak{so}(r)$ -momenta integrals. If, instead, g belongs to the stabilizer of $\mathcal{V}_0$ (that is, $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]}$) the latter is preserved as well. Thus the relations between the manifolds can be described by the following diagram showing the factorizations and an inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_h & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{ij}=h_{ij}} & \mathcal{I} \\ & \searrow /(\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]}/\mathbb{Z}_2^n & \downarrow /SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \\ & & \mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} \end{array}$$

A point of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} = \mathcal{I}/SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ defines an equivalence class $\{\mathcal{D}\}$ of effective divisors on $\mathcal{S}'$ via the sequence $(X, P) \mapsto \mathbf{L}(\lambda) \mapsto \psi(P) \mapsto \mathcal{D}$ (where, as above, $\langle \alpha, \psi \rangle = 1$). Thus we have the injective eigenvector map

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} \longrightarrow \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}').$$

Note that, in view of Proposition 5.1, the dimensions of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$ and $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ coincides. Moreover, we shall prove that $\mathcal{M}$ maps the invariant manifold $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$ to the translated Prym variety.

Proposition 5.3 *For any equivalence class $\{(X, P)\}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$, the divisor $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}(\{(X, P)\})$ satisfies the relation*

$$\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{B}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of all branch points of the projection $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = \{\lambda\} \cup \infty$, including the infinite points $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$.

Then, since $\mathcal{B}$ is independent of $(X, P) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$, the degree zero divisor $\mathcal{D} - NP_0$ with a fixed basepoint $P_0 \in \mathcal{S}'$ belongs to a translated Prym variety $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$. That is, $\mathcal{M}$ maps the reduced manifold $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$ into the Prym subvariety.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is injective and both varieties have the same dimension l , we proved

Proposition 5.4 *The reduced isospectral manifold $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} = \mathcal{I}/SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ of the Neumann system is isomorphic to an open subset $\text{Prym}_0(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.*

Remark 5 In the special case $\Psi = 0$ the corresponding isospectral manifold $\mathcal{I}$ has dimension $r(n - r) + r(r - 1)/2$, and the factor $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} = \mathcal{I}/SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the complexification of the $r(n - r)$ -dimensional invariant tori of the reduced Neumann system on $T^*G_{n,r}$. As item (2) of Proposition 5.1 says, in this case the corresponding variety $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ has the same dimension. Then Proposition 5.3 implies that, like in the generalcase, the map $\mathcal{M}$ realized a bijection between $\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}}$ and an open subset of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. Here we use the technique already applied in [11, 41, 17]. Namely, let

$$\psi(P) = (\chi(P), \xi(P))^T, \quad \chi, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^r, \quad P = (\lambda, w) \in \mathcal{S}'$$

be an eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ with the eigenvalue w , and $\bar{\psi}(P) = \psi(\sigma P) = (\bar{\chi}, \bar{\xi})^T$ be an eigenvector with the opposite eigenvalue: $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)\bar{\psi}(P) = -w\bar{\psi}(P)$. Introduce also the vector $\psi^*(P) = (-\bar{\xi}, \bar{\chi})^T$, which, due to the structure of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ in (4.8), is an eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}^T(\lambda)$ with the eigenvalue w :

$$\mathbf{L}^T(\lambda)\psi^*(P) = w\psi^*(P). \quad (5.8)$$

Indeed,

$$\mathbf{L}^T \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\xi} \\ \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}^T & \mathcal{W} \\ \mathcal{U} & -\mathcal{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\xi} \\ \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{V}^T \bar{\xi} + \mathcal{W} \bar{\chi} \\ -\mathcal{U} \bar{\xi} - \mathcal{V} \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -w \bar{\xi} \\ w \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = w \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\xi} \\ \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now consider the function

$$F(P) = \langle \psi^*(P), \psi(P) \rangle = \psi^T(P) \psi^*(P) = \bar{\chi} \xi - \chi \bar{\xi}. \quad (5.9)$$

The rest of the proof is based on item 1) of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 1) *The function $F(P)$ has simple zeros only at the branch points of w as the function of λ and has the poles only at $\mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma\mathcal{D}$.*

2) *$F(P)$ is anti-symmetric with respect to the involution $\sigma : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$.*

Since $F(P)$ is meromorphic on $\mathcal{S}'$, the divisors of its zeros and poles are equivalent, which leads to the relation (5.7) and Proposition 5.3. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5.5. 1) Take two distinct eigenvalues w, w' of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ and the corresponding eigenvectors $\psi(\lambda, w), \psi^*(\lambda, w')$. Then, on the one hand,

$$(\psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \mathbf{L}(\lambda) \psi(\lambda, w) = w (\psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \psi(\lambda, w).$$

On the other hand, in view of (5.8), the same product equals

$$(\psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \mathbf{L}(\lambda) \psi(\lambda, w) = (\mathbf{L}^T(\lambda) \psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \psi(\lambda, w) = w' (\psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \psi(\lambda, w).$$

Since $w' \neq w$, the difference of the above two equations yields $(\psi^*(\lambda, w'))^T \psi(\lambda, w) = 0$ for any $(\lambda, w) \neq (\lambda, w')$ and, by continuity, $(\psi^*(\lambda, w))^T \psi(\lambda, w) = 0$ when $(\lambda, w) \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence, $F(P)$ vanishes at all the branch points $\mathcal{B}$.

Next, since the components $\chi(P), \xi(P)$ of $\psi(P)$ have poles only at $\mathcal{D}$ and, by their definition, the components of $\psi^*(P)$ have poles only at $\sigma\mathcal{D}$, the function $F(P)$ has poles only at $\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}$. Note that the latter points are all finite, that is, distinct from $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r \in \mathcal{B}$, if we impose an appropriate normalization, for example $\psi^1(P) + \dots + \psi^{2r}(P) = 1$.

Finally, note that, apart from simple zeros at $\mathcal{B}$, the function $F(P)$ cannot have other zeros on $\mathcal{S}'$, because, due to the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, the genus of the curve $\mathcal{S}'$, as a $2r$ -fold covering of $\mathbb{P}$, equals $g = 2r(0 - 1) + (\deg \mathcal{B})/2 + 1$, then we have $\deg(\mathcal{B}) = 2(g + 2r - 1)$, and, in view of (5.4),

$$\deg(\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}) = 2\deg(\mathcal{D}) = 2(g + 2r - 1) = \deg(\mathcal{B}).$$

2) Since $\psi(\sigma P) = \bar{\psi}(P) = (\bar{\chi}, \bar{\xi})^T$ and $\psi^*(\sigma P)$ satisfies $L^T(\lambda)\psi^*(\sigma P) = -w\psi^*(\sigma P)$, we have $\psi^*(\sigma P) = (-\xi, \chi)^T$. As a result,

$$F(\sigma P) = (\psi^*(\sigma P))^T \psi(\sigma P) = -\bar{\chi}\xi + \chi\bar{\xi} = -F(P),$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Since for an appropriate normalization of the eigenvector $\psi(P)$ the pole divisor $\mathcal{D}$ is finite, the eigenvector map $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ can be extended to the map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$$

Proposition 5.6 *The map $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is injective.*

Proof. The idea is borrowed from [26]. Namely, let $\psi(P)$, $P \in \mathcal{S}'$ be the eigenvector bundle of a Lax matrix $\mathbf{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ with the normalization $\psi^1 + \dots + \psi^{2r} = 1$, and $\mathcal{D}$ be the corresponding effective divisor on $\mathcal{S}'$ defining a point $\mathcal{D} - NP_0$ in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$. We will show that, given the ∞ -equivalence class of $\mathcal{D}$, the matrix $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ can be reconstructed uniquely.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ be another effective divisor giving the same point in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$. Then, by the generalized Abel theorem, there exists a meromorphic function $G(P)$ on $\mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$(G) = \mathcal{D} - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \quad G(\infty_s^-) = G(\infty_s^+) \neq 0, \infty, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2].$$

The components of $\psi(P)$ form a basis of $L(\mathcal{D})$ ¹². Respectively, let $\tilde{\psi}(P)$ be a vector bundle whose components form a basis of $L(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$.

Then the components of $G(P)\tilde{\psi}(P)$ form a basis of $L(\mathcal{D})$, and there is a non-degenerate matrix $R \in GL(2r, \mathbb{C})$ independent of P such that $\psi(P) = G(P)R\tilde{\psi}(P)$. The bundles $\psi(P), \tilde{\psi}(P)$ define the same matrix $\mathbf{L}(\lambda)$ up to conjugation by R . By (5.6), the conjugation preserves $\mathbf{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ iff $R = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$, where $g \in SO(r, \mathbb{C})$

is a stabilizer of $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \text{so}(r, \mathbb{C})$.

On the other hand, the above relation between $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ implies

$$\psi(\infty_k) = G(\infty_k)R\tilde{\psi}(\infty_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Due to the chosen normalization, near ∞_k the components of $\psi(\infty_k), \tilde{\psi}(\infty_k)$ are finite and given by the expansions (4.11) involving the eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_s, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s, \mathbf{v}_0$ of $\mathcal{V}_0$. This implies

$$\mathbf{v}_s \| G(\infty_s)g \mathbf{v}_s, \quad \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s \| G(\infty_s)g \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2], \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \| G(\infty_r)g \mathbf{v}_0$$

¹²Note that these components, in general, take different values at ∞_s^- and ∞_s^+ .

hence all $\mathbf{v}_s, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_s, \mathbf{v}_0$ must be also eigenvectors of g . Since $g \in SO(r, \mathbb{C})$, we conclude that g and R are the unit matrices, therefore the divisors $\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ correspond to the same matrix $\mathbf{L}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. $\square$

Proposition 5.7 *The image $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ belongs to a translation of $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}')$.*

Proof. The zeros and poles of the meromorphic function $F(P)$ given by (5.9) imply the equivalence (5.7) on $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$, namely $\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{B}$, which cannot be extended to the equivalence

$$\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D} \equiv_{\infty} \mathcal{B}$$

because $F(\infty_s^{\pm}) = 0$ and the divisor $\mathcal{B}$ contains all the infinite points: $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 + \infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r$. This can be repaired by introducing the function $G(P) = F^2(P)\lambda$, where λ is the coordinate on the curve $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\lambda, w)$ and

$$(\lambda) = \mathcal{O} - 2(\infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r),$$

$\mathcal{O}$ being the preimage of $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathcal{S}'$. Then

$$(G) = 2\mathcal{B} - 2(\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O} - 2(\infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r) \equiv 2\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{O} - 2(\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}).$$

Moreover, in view of Lemma 5.5 and the symmetry $\lambda(\sigma P) = \lambda(P)$,

$$G(\infty_s^-) = G(\infty_s^+) \neq 0, \infty, \quad s = 1, \dots, [r/2].$$

Hence the divisor (G) implies

$$2(\mathcal{D} + \sigma\mathcal{D}) \equiv_{\infty} 2\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{O} \equiv 2\mathcal{B}. \quad (5.10)$$

It follows that $\mathcal{D} - NP_0$ belongs to a certain translate $\mathcal{T}$ of $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ in $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ defined up to translations by half-periods V of $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$.

On the other hand, comparing (5.10) with (5.7), one sees any such half-period must satisfy $\phi(V) = 0$. Therefore, V includes only the half-periods $v(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$, which, according to Lemma 5.2, are half-periods in $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$. Hence, the translate of $\mathcal{T}$ by V is again $\mathcal{T}$, which proves the proposition. $\square$

Since $\mathcal{I}_h$ and $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ have the same dimension $\delta = l + [r/2]$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ is injective, we arrive at the main theorem of the section.

Theorem 5.8 *If the rank of the momentum Ψ is maximal, then, after factorization by the reflection group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, a generic δ -dimensional complex invariant manifold $\mathcal{I}_h$ of the Neumann system on $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*V_{n,r}$ is $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}_0(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$, an open subset of a translate of the affine Prym variety $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \subset \widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$.*

This theorem together with Proposition 5.4 can be summarized with the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{I}_h/\mathbb{Z}_2^n & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} & \widetilde{\text{Prym}}_0(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \\
(\mathbb{C}^*)^{[r/2]} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\
\mathcal{I}_{\text{red}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} & \text{Prym}_0(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)
\end{array} \tag{5.11}$$

Remark 6 As was shown in Section 5, in the special case $\Psi = 0$ all r infinite points of the regularized curve $\mathcal{S}'$ are invariant with respect to the involution σ . Such a situation is described in [9]: one can introduce the generalized Jacobian $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$ of the singularized curve, obtained from $\mathcal{S}'$ by gluing $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$ to one point. In contrast to the general case, now the part of $\widetilde{\text{Jac}}(\mathcal{S}', \infty)$, which is anti-invariant with respect to σ , is just the compact subvariety $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.

A linearization of the Neumann flows on $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ and $\widetilde{\text{Prym}}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ will be presented in a separate publication. In the sequel we proceed directly to their exact discretizations.

6 Integrable discretization of the Neumann system on $T^*V_{n,r}$

6.1 The map and its basic properties

Using the notation of Section 3, consider the following matrix generalization of the map (2.21), (2.16) (discrete Neumann system) with a real parameter λ_*

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X} - X \Gamma(\lambda_*), \\
\tilde{P} &= -A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X + \tilde{X} \Gamma(\lambda_*),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where, as above, $A(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A$ and Γ is a *symmetric* $r \times r$ matrix, which is found from the condition $X^T P + P^T X = 0$:

$$\Gamma(\lambda_*) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{X}^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X + X^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X} \right). \tag{6.2}$$

The first matrix equation in (6.1) gives the definition of the discrete momentum P , whereas the second equation provides the discrete dynamics in an implicit form. In view of (6.2), the structure of (6.1) ensures preservation of the $SO(r)$ momentum: $\tilde{X}^T \tilde{P} = X^T P$.

Equations (6.1), (6.2) define a multi-valued map (a correspondence)

$$\mathfrak{B}_r : T^*V_{n,r} \longrightarrow T^*V_{n,r}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_r(X, P) = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{P}),$$

which can be regarded as a discrete Neumann system on $T^*V_{n,r}$ ¹³.

¹³ In fact, it can be considered without the restriction $X^T P + P^T X = 0$, i.e., can be extended to the map on $V_{n,r}(X) \times \mathbb{R}^{nr}(P)$, which always preserves the matrix $X^T P$.

To evaluate the map, we rewrite (6.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X} &= A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*)(P + X\Gamma(\lambda_*)), \\ \tilde{P} &= -A^{1/2}(\lambda_*)X + A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*)(P + X\Gamma(\lambda_*))\Gamma(\lambda_*).\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Then, applying the condition $\tilde{X}^T\tilde{X} = \mathbf{I}_r$, we obtain the following quadratic matrix equation for Γ , which defines it implicitly as a function of X, P and which generalizes the scalar equation (2.22),

$$\Gamma\mathbf{U}\Gamma + \Gamma\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V}^T\Gamma - \mathbf{W} = 0,\tag{6.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = X^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*)X, \quad \mathbf{V} = X^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*)P, \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}_r - P^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*)P.\tag{6.5}$$

This is a system of $r(r+1)/2$ scalar quadratic equations for the $r(r+1)/2$ components of Γ . However, due to the structure of the matrix coefficients, the number of its solutions is less than that predicted by the Besout theorem. The matrix equation (6.4) is known in the literature in connection with stationary solutions of the matrix Riccati differential equation, optimum automatic control theory, and its complete solution was presented in [47].

In order to describe it, we first observe that the coefficients (6.5) coincide with the $r \times r$ blocks of the Lax matrix $L(\lambda_*)$ in (3.14). Since the latter is symplectic, its eigenvalues $w_1, \dots, w_{2r}$ are divided into r pairs $(w_i, -w_i)$. Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathbb{C}^{2r}$ be the eigenvectors of $L(\lambda_*)$ with *distinct* eigenvalues $w_1, \dots, w_r$ such that $w_i \neq -w_j$. The corresponding matrix $\Psi = (\psi_1 \cdots \psi_r)$ will be called a *non-special eigenmatrix*.

The following proposition is a direct consequence of the results of Potter [47].

Proposition 6.1 *Any symmetric solution of the matrix quadratic equation (6.4) has the form*

$$\Gamma = \Upsilon \Xi^{-1},$$

where Ξ, Υ are upper and lower $r \times r$ halves of a non-special eigenmatrix $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi \\ \Upsilon \end{pmatrix}$ of $L(\lambda_*)$.

Remark 7 The original paper [47] solves the equation

$$\Gamma\mathfrak{U}\Gamma + \Gamma\mathfrak{V} + \bar{\mathfrak{V}}^T\Gamma - \mathfrak{W} = 0,\tag{6.6}$$

where $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{W}$ are arbitrary $n \times n$ complex matrices. As above, consider the matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{V} & \mathfrak{U} \\ \mathfrak{W} & -\bar{\mathfrak{V}}^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to [47], if K has a diagonal Jordan canonical form with distinct eigenvalues $w_1, \dots, w_r$ such that $w_i \neq -\bar{w}_j$, then the *hermitian solutions* ($\bar{\Gamma}^T = \Gamma$) of (6.6) are exactly those described in Proposition 6.1: $\Gamma = \Upsilon \Xi^{-1}$, where Ξ, Υ are upper and lower $r \times r$ halves of the corresponding eigenmatrix of K . Proposition 6.1 dealing with symmetric matrices can be easily proved following the lines of [47].

Obviously, the product $\Upsilon \Xi^{-1}$ is invariant under any gauge transformation $\Psi \mapsto \Psi G$, $G \in GL(r, C)$. As was also shown in [47], if Ψ is special (that is, contains eigenvectors ψ_i, ψ_j with $w_i = -w_j$) or its columns are linear combinations of more than r eigenvectors ψ_i , then the product $\Upsilon \Xi^{-1}$ also satisfies (6.4), but it is *not* a symmetric matrix.

Since for generic finite $\lambda_* \neq a_i$ there are 2^r possible partitions

$$\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\},$$

we conclude that the equation (6.4) has precisely 2^r complex solutions. They admit a natural decomposition $\{\Gamma\} = \{\Gamma_-\} \cap \{\Gamma_+\}$ such that for any solution $\Gamma^* \in \{\Gamma_-\}$ corresponding to a partition $\mathbf{w}_- = \{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\}$ there is a unique $\Gamma^{**} \in \{\Gamma_+\}$ corresponding to the "opposite" partition $\mathbf{w}_+ = \{-w_1, \dots, -w_r \mid w_1, \dots, w_r\}$.

Since the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}'$ has ordinary branch points ($\lambda = a_i, w = 0$), in the special case $\lambda_* = a_i$ there are only $r - 1$ distinct non-zero eigenvalues $w_1, \dots, w_{r-1}$ such that $w_i \neq -w_j$, and the number of symmetric solutions of (6.4) drops to 2^{r-1} .

Once a solution for Γ is chosen, $\tilde{X}, \tilde{P}$ are found uniquely from (6.1) or (6.3). Since, for generic λ_* , different solutions Γ lead to different images $\tilde{X}, \tilde{P}$, we conclude that the *complex* map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ is 2^r -valued.

Continuous limit. Like in the case $r = 1$, the continuous limit of the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ is obtained by letting $\lambda_* \rightarrow \infty$. Namely, we set $\lambda_* = 1/\tau^2$ and consider the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}(\lambda_*) &= \tau^2 (\mathbf{I}_n + \tau^2 A + O(\tau^4)), \\ \tilde{X} &= X + \tau \dot{X} + O(\tau^2), \quad \tilde{P} = P + \tau \dot{P} + O(\tau^2). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{I}_r - \frac{\tau}{2} (X^T A X + P^T P) + O(\tau^2). \quad (6.7)$$

Indeed, substituting the above into the matrix quadratic equation (6.4) and using the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U} &= \tau^2 (\mathbf{I}_r + \tau^2 X^T A X + O(\tau^3)), \\ \mathbf{V} &= \tau^2 (X^T P + \tau^2 X^T A P + O(\tau^3)), \\ \mathbf{W} &= \mathbf{I}_r - \tau^2 (P^T P + \tau^2 P^T A P + O(\tau^3)), \end{aligned}$$

we find that the coefficients at τ^0, τ, τ^2 vanish, which justifies (6.7).

Next, substituting the latter into the equations (6.3), and using

$$A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*) = \tau \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{\tau^2}{2} A + O(\tau^4) \right), \quad A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) = \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{\tau^2}{2} A + O(\tau^4) \right),$$

we conclude that $\dot{X}, \dot{P}$ coincide with the right hand sides of the continuous Neumann system with the Euclidean metric (3.9), (3.8).

The Lax representation. As we have seen above, the solutions of the matrix quadratic equation (6.4) are closely related to the Lax matrix of the continuous Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$. It appears that this matrix also forms a Lax representation of the discrete system.

Theorem 6.2 *Up to the action of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ of reflections (3.12), the discrete Neumann system (6.1), (6.2) is equivalent to the intertwining matrix relation (discrete Lax pair)*

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda)M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = M(\lambda, \lambda_*)L(\lambda), \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & X^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \\ \mathbf{I}_r - P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}P & -P^T(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}X \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma(\lambda_*) & \mathbf{I}_r \\ (\lambda - \lambda_*)\mathbf{I}_r + \Gamma^2(\lambda_*) & -\Gamma(\lambda_*) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ depends on $\tilde{X}, \tilde{P}$ in the same way as $L(\lambda)$ depends on X, P and $M(\lambda, \lambda_*)$ depends on $X, \tilde{X}$ in a symmetric way via (6.2).

The proof is direct (although quite long), it uses the constraints (3.1), the matrix identity (3.16) and $SO(r)$ -momentum preservation $\tilde{X}^T \tilde{P} = X^T P$.

Note that the $2r \times 2r$ matrix M is a direct generalization of (2.19).

It follows that, regardless to the branch of the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$, it preserves all the first integrals of the continuous Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$, including the non-commutative set given by the components of the $SO(r)$ -momentum Ψ . Thus, when the rank of Ψ is maximal, generic invariant manifolds of $\mathfrak{B}_r$ are l -dimensional isotropic tori.

Theorem 6.3 *Let all the eigenvalues of A be distinct. Then the discrete Neumann system (6.1) is completely integrable in the noncommutative sense with the set of integrals given by (3.17) and by the components of the $SO(r)$ -momentum mapping (3.4).*

The proof goes along the same lines as that in [57] for case of a Liouville integrable correspondence, with the only difference that in our case the Lagrangian tori are replaced by the isotropic ones.

Double iterations. Although the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ is multi-valued, it has a kind of return property described by

Theorem 6.4 *Let $\mathfrak{B}_r^-, \mathfrak{B}_r^+$ be the branches of the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ corresponding to some arbitrary opposite partitions $\mathbf{w}_-, \mathbf{w}_+$. Then, for any initial $(X, P) \in T^*V_{n,r}$,*

$$\mathfrak{B}_r^- \circ \mathfrak{B}_r^+(X, P) = (-X, -P),$$

i.e., double iterations of $\mathcal{B}_r$ with opposite partitions multiply (X, P) by -1 .

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Expressing X from the second matrix equation in (6.1) and using the condition $X^T X = \mathbf{I}_r$, we obtain the following alternative equation for the matrix multiplier Γ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \Gamma - \Gamma \tilde{\mathbf{V}} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \Gamma - \tilde{\mathbf{W}} &= 0, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{U}} &= \tilde{X}^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \tilde{X}^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*) \tilde{P}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{I}_r - \tilde{P}^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*) \tilde{P} \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

According to Proposition 6.1, all symmetric matrix solutions of (6.9) have the form $\Gamma = \hat{\Upsilon} \hat{\Xi}^{-1}$, where $\hat{\Xi}, \hat{\Upsilon}$ are halves of a nonspecial eigenmatrix $\hat{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Xi} \\ \hat{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix}$ of

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{V}} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}} & \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

To see how the solutions of (6.4) and (6.9) are related, let us fix a solution Γ^* of the original equation (6.4) corresponding to a certain partition $\mathbf{w}^* = \{w \mid -w\}$. Let $\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{P}^*$ be the corresponding image, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^*, \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^*, \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^*$ be the corresponding coefficients in the equation (6.9), and $\{\hat{\Gamma}\}$ be the set of its solutions. Then $\Gamma^* \in \{\hat{\Gamma}\}$.

On the other hand, let $\{\tilde{\Gamma}\}$ be the complete set of solution of the original matrix equation (6.4) but with the coefficients defined by the *tilded* variables $\tilde{X}^*, \tilde{P}^*$. It is seen that if $\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix}$ is the nonspecial eigenmatrix of

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda_*) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{V}} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}} & -\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to a partition $\{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\}$, then $\begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix}$ is the nonspecial eigenmatrix of the above matrix $\hat{L}$ corresponding to the opposite partition $\{-w_1, \dots, -w_r \mid w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{V}} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}} & -\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix} = w_s \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\mathbf{V}} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}} & \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix} = -w_s \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\Xi} \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$. Hence the set $\{\tilde{\Gamma}\}$ contains the solution $-\Gamma^*$.

To complete the proof, consider the tilded version of the equations (6.1),

$$\tilde{P}^* = A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{\tilde{X}} - \tilde{X}^* \tilde{\Gamma}(\lambda_*), \quad \tilde{\tilde{P}} = -A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X}^* + \tilde{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\Gamma}(\lambda_*). \quad (6.10)$$

Setting here $\tilde{\Gamma} = -\Gamma^*$ and assuming that $\tilde{\tilde{P}} = -P$, $\tilde{\tilde{X}} = -X$, we see that the first (second) equation of (6.10) becomes the second (first) equation in (6.1). Hence, the equations (6.10) for $\tilde{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{\tilde{P}}$ have the solution $(-X, -P)$. $\square$

Real trajectories. In view of (6.1), for the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ to be real-valued it is necessary that $\lambda_* > a_1, \dots, a_n$, and even in this case not for any real pair $(X, P) \in T^*V_{(n,r)}$ the matrix equation (6.4) has a real solution Γ : as follows from the first equation (6.1) (the discrete Legendre transformation) and (6.2), the real discrete momentum P must belong to the compact set

$$\left\{ A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X} - \frac{1}{2} X \left(\tilde{X}^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X + X^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) \tilde{X} \right) \mid X^T X = \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} = \mathbf{I} \right\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Proposition 6.5 *Assume $\lambda_* > a_1, \dots, a_n$, and let the momentum P_0 of the initial real point $(X_0, P_0) \in T^*V_{n,r}$ belong to the set (6.11). Let $L(\lambda_*)$ be evaluated at (X_0, P_0) . Choose the branch of the map $\mathcal{B}_r$ corresponding to a partition*

$$\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\},$$

such that $w_1, \dots, w_r$ are different, $w_i + w_j \neq 0$, $w_i + \bar{w}_j \neq 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Then the trajectory of the discrete Neumann system (6.3) obtained from (X_0, P_0) by iterating the above branch is real.

Proof. Since $w_1, \dots, w_r$ are different and $w_i + w_j \neq 0$, the corresponding eigenmatrix $\Psi = (\psi_1 \cdots \psi_r)$ is non-special. Then, according to Proposition 6.1, the solution $\Gamma = \Upsilon \Xi^{-1}$ of equation (6.4) with real matrix coefficients (6.5) corresponding to (X_0, P_0) is symmetric. On the other hand, due to the conditions $w_i + \bar{w}_j \neq 0$, after setting $\mathfrak{U} = \mathbf{U}$, $\mathfrak{V} = \mathbf{V}$, $\mathfrak{W} = \mathbf{W}$, the equation (6.6) has the corresponding hermitian solution Γ obtained by the same eigenmatrix Ψ (see Remark 7). Since the matrix Γ is both symmetric and hermitian, it is a real symmetric matrix. $\square$

Remark 8 For the case $r = 1$, the eigenvalues $w, -w$ of $L(\lambda^*)$ can be pure real or imaginary. The above condition gives the real trajectory for real w , as in Remark 2. For the case $r > 1$ and real λ^* , generically the matrix $L(\lambda^*)$ has a set of four different eigenvalues invariant with respect to the inversion $w \mapsto -w$ and complex conjugation $w \mapsto \bar{w}$. For example, consider the case $r = 2$ and eigenvalues $w, -w, \bar{w}, -\bar{w}$. The non-special eigenmatrix Ψ associated to the partition $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1 = w, w_2 = \bar{w} \mid -w_1, -w_2\}$ defines the real branch of $\mathfrak{B}_2$.

Note that with the same initial condition (X_0, P_0) as one described in Proposition 6.5, we can have a complex solution of (6.4). That is why, it is natural to consider all objects complexified. In the next subsection we shall give an algebraic geometrical description of the mapping $\mathfrak{B}_r$, which generalize Proposition 2.2.

6.2 Algebraic geometric description of $\mathfrak{B}_r$

Now we describe the correspondence (6.3) under the eigenvector map

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{I}_{red} = \mathcal{I}/SO(r, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \longrightarrow \text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$$

described in the previous section. As above, for a fixed arbitrary $\lambda_* \neq a_i$ choose a partition of eigenvalues $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\}$ of $L(\lambda_*)$.

Note that the eigenvalues w_i of $L(\lambda_*)$ and $\hat{w}_i$ of $\mathbf{L}(\lambda_*) = a(\lambda_*)L(\lambda_*)$ are related as $\hat{w}_i = a(\lambda_*)w_i$, whereas the corresponding eigenvectors can be chosen the same. Then let $Q_1 = (\lambda_*, a(\lambda_*)w_1), \dots, Q_r = (\lambda_*, a(\lambda_*)w_r)$ be the corresponding points over $\lambda = \lambda_*$ on the regularized spectral curve $\mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi \\ \Upsilon \end{pmatrix} = (\psi(Q_1) \cdots \psi(Q_r))$$

be the corresponding non-special eigenmatrix. Let also $\Gamma = \Upsilon \Xi^{-1}$ be the corresponding solution of the matrix quadratic equation (6.4), which fixed the branch of the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$. Then Ψ can be rewritten as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} \Upsilon. \quad (6.12)$$

Theorem 6.6 *Under the eigenvector map $\mathcal{M}$, the above branch of $\mathcal{B}_r$ is the translation on $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ by the vector given by the degree zero divisor*

$$\mathcal{T} = \infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_r. \quad (6.13)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{T} + \sigma\mathcal{T} = 2(\infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r) - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_r - \sigma Q_1 - \cdots - \sigma Q_r$$

which is the divisor of the meromorphic function $1/(\lambda - \lambda_*)$ on $\mathcal{S}'$. Hence $\mathcal{T} + \sigma\mathcal{T} \equiv 0$, and $\mathcal{T}$ indeed belongs to $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$.

We also note that the expression (6.13) is a direct generalization of the one-point translation described in Proposition 2.2 for the case $r = 1$. In the continuous limit $\lambda_* \rightarrow \infty$ we have $Q_1 + \cdots + Q_r \mapsto \infty_1 + \cdots + \infty_r$, hence the shift vector $T = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ tends to zero.

Proof of Theorem 6.6. As follows from the intertwining relation (6.8), if $\psi(P)$ is an eigenvector of $L(\lambda)$, then

$$\hat{\psi}(P) = M(\lambda, \lambda_*)\psi(P) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma & \mathbf{I}_r \\ (\lambda - \lambda_*)\mathbf{I}_r + (\Gamma)^2 & -\Gamma \end{pmatrix} \psi(P)$$

is an eigenvector of $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ with the same eigenvalue. Note that whereas $\psi(P)$ is normalized, the above $\hat{\psi}(P)$ is not, so we consider normalized eigenvector $\tilde{\psi}(P) = f^{-1}(P)\hat{\psi}(P)$, $f = \langle \alpha, \hat{\psi}(P) \rangle$ for a normalization $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{2r-1}$.

We compare the divisors $\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of poles of $\psi(P), \tilde{\psi}(P)$ for the chosen Γ . First, note that $\det M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = (\lambda - \lambda_*)^r$. Hence, apart from the points of $\mathcal{S}'$ over $\lambda = \lambda_*$ and $\lambda = \infty$, $M(\lambda, \lambda_*)$ is non-degenerate. Therefore, apart from these points, the divisors of poles of $\psi(P)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(P)$ coincide.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\psi(P)$ is normalized in the same way as in Proposition 4.1. Then in the neighborhood of the infinite points $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$ these

components have the expansions (4.11) and, near each ∞_s with the local coordinate $\tau = 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$, the following expansion holds

$$\widehat{\psi}(P) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma & \mathbf{I}_r \\ \tau^{-2}\mathbf{I}_r + (\Gamma)^2 + O(1)\mathbf{I}_r & -\Gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_s\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ \mathbf{v}_s + O(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_s + O(\tau) \\ \tau^{-1}\mathbf{v}_s - \Gamma\mathbf{v}_s + O(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, in contrast to $\psi(P)$, some components of $\widehat{\psi}(P)$ have a first order pole at ∞_s . Next, observe that the eigenvectors $\psi(Q_1), \dots, \psi(Q_r)$ that form the eigenmatrix Ψ , span the kernel of $M(\lambda_*, \lambda_*)$, because, in view of (6.12),

$$M(\lambda_*, \lambda_*)\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma & \mathbf{I}_r \\ \Gamma^2 & -\Gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} \Upsilon = \mathbf{0}.$$

The products of $M(\lambda_*, \lambda_*)$ with the other eigenvectors $\psi(\sigma Q_1), \dots, \psi(\sigma Q_r)$ are non-zero. It follows that the divisor of the above normalizing factor $f(P)$ is

$$(f) = Q_1 + \dots + Q_r + \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{D} - \infty_1 - \dots - \infty_r$$

for a certain effective divisor $\mathcal{R}$. Then the divisor of poles $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of $\tilde{\psi}(P) = \widehat{\psi}(P)/f(P)$ equals $\mathcal{R}$. Indeed, the zeros of $\widehat{\psi}(P)$ and $f(P)$ at $Q_1 + \dots + Q_r$ as well as their poles at $\mathcal{D} + \infty_1 + \dots + \infty_r$ cancel each other. Since $f(P)$ is meromorphic on $\mathcal{S}'$, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D} + \infty_1 + \dots + \infty_r - Q_1 - \dots - Q_r,$$

which implies that the images of $\mathcal{D}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ differ by the translation $\mathcal{T}$ in (6.13). $\square$

Growth of the map. Theorem 6.6 says that the shift $\mathcal{T}$ on $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$ does not depend on the step of iteration of $\mathfrak{B}_r$, but only on the choice of partition $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_r \mid -w_1, \dots, -w_r\}$. The opposite partitions $\mathbf{w}_-, \mathbf{w}_+$ produce opposite shifts $\mathcal{T}_-, \mathcal{T}_+$ such that $\mathcal{T}_- + \mathcal{T}_+ \equiv 0$. Hence the 2^r branches of the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ and of the composition $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathfrak{B}_r$ can conditionally be divided into 2^{r-1} "forward" and 2^{r-1} "backward" branches. Clearly, double iterations of $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathfrak{B}_r$ with opposite partitions give the original value of $\mathcal{M}(X, P)$. This property also holds for the map $\mathfrak{B}_r$ itself, but not completely: due to Theorem 6.4, the corresponding double iterations of $\mathfrak{B}_r$ give $(-X, -P)$ and not (X, P) .

In $\mathbb{C}^l$, the universal covering of $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma)$, all the iterations of $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathfrak{B}_r$ form a lattice Ξ of rank $\leq 2^{r-1}$. Due to the definition of the vectors $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, for $r > 2$ not all of them are linearly independent, hence the lattice fits into a linear subspace of dimension less than 2^{r-1} . For example, let $r = 3$ and denote $\infty = \infty_1 + \infty_2 + \infty_3$. The lattice Ξ is generated by 4 shift vectors $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_1), \dots, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_4)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1 &= -Q_1 - Q_2 - Q_3 + \infty, & \mathcal{T}_2 &= -\sigma(Q_1) - Q_2 - Q_3 + \infty, \\ \mathcal{T}_3 &= -Q_1 - \sigma(Q_2) - Q_3 + \infty, & \mathcal{T}_4 &= -Q_1 - Q_2 - \sigma(Q_3) + \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and one can see that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_1) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_2) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_3) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}_4) = 0$.

The above implies that iterations of the "forward" branches of $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathfrak{B}_r$ give a linear growth of the images of the map.

6.3 Lagrangian description and billiards on $V_{n,r}$

Discrete Euler-Lagrange equations. The discrete Neumann system (6.1) can be considered as a discrete variational problem on the Stiefel variety as well. Namely, let (X_k, P_k) , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a trajectory of the discrete Neumann system and Γ_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the corresponding sequence of matrix multipliers. From the first equation in (6.1) and (6.2) we get

$$P_k = A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X_{k+1} - X_k \Gamma_k, \quad \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{k+1}^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X_k + X_k^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X_{k+1} \right).$$

Comparing this with the second equation in (6.1) with k replaced by $k-1$, one obtains the equations

$$X_{k-1} + X_{k+1} = A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*) X_k B_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (6.14)$$

where $B_k = \Gamma_{k-1} + \Gamma_k$. On the other hand, from (6.14) and the condition $X_{k+1}^T X_{k+1} = \mathbf{I}_r$ we get the matrix equation

$$B_k (X_k^T A^{-1}(\lambda_*) X_k) B_k - (X_{k-1}^T A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*) X_k) B_k - B_k (X_k^T A^{-1/2}(\lambda_*) X_{k-1}) = 0,$$

which determines B_k as a function of X_{k-1} and X_k only. In the case $r = 1$, when $B_k = \beta_k$ is a scalar, the solution of this equation has the form (2.17).

Equations (6.14) coincides with the discrete Euler-Lagrange equations on $V_{n,r}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(X_k, X_{k+1})}{\partial X_k} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(X_{k-1}, X_k)}{\partial X_k} = X_k B_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (6.15)$$

of the functional $S = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}(X_k, X_{k+1})$

$$\mathcal{L} : V_{n,r} \times V_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{L}(X_k, X_{k+1}) = \text{tr}(X_k^T A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X_{k+1}) \quad (6.16)$$

first derived by Moser and Veselov in [41]. In this sense, the expression for the momentum P in the system (6.1) is actually the *discrete Legendre transformation*:

$$\tau : V_{n,r} \times V_{n,r} \rightarrow T^*V_{n,r}, \quad P_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(X_k, X_{k+1})}{\partial X_k} - X_k \Gamma_k = A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) X_{k+1} - X_k \Gamma_k,$$

where, as above, the multiplier Γ_k is a symmetric matrix defined from the condition that (X_k, P_k) belongs to $T^*V_{n,r}$. In particular, the correspondence (6.3) is symplectic (see [41]).

Billiards on Stiefel manifolds We now introduce a billiard map on Stiefel varieties and show that its certain modification is a "square root" of the mapping (6.1).

First, consider a $SO(r)$ -invariant metric ds_J^2 on $V_{n,r}$ defined by the Lagrangian $L_J(X, \dot{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\dot{X}^T J \dot{X})$. The corresponding geodesic flow is considered from a point of view of optimal control and discretization in [8]. There, following [41], a discrete system defined by the functional $S = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}(X_k, X_{k+1})$, where the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is given by (6.16) with $A^{1/2}(\lambda_*) = J$ have been studied.

Here we give another natural discrete model. Introduce the new matrix variable $x = J^{1/2}X$ in which the Stiefel variety $V_{n,r}$ is given by

$$E_{n,r} : \quad x^T J^{-1} x = \mathbf{I}_r.$$

Then the Lagrangian of the metric ds_j^2 is given by the metric induced from the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^{nr}$: $L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\dot{x}^T \dot{x})$. In particular, for $r = 1$ the system describes the geodesic flow on the ellipsoid $E_{n,1} = \{(x, J^{-1}x) = 1\}$.

Consider the discrete Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x_k, x_{k+1}) = |x_{k+1} - x_k| = \sqrt{\text{tr}((x_{k+1} - x_k)^T (x_{k+1} - x_k))}. \quad (6.17)$$

The functional $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}(x_k, x_{k+1})$ can be seen as a discretization of the action in the Maupertius–Jacobi principle. The discrete Euler–Lagrange equations read

$$\frac{1}{|x_{k+1} - x_k|} (x_{k+1} - x_k) - \frac{1}{|x_k - x_{k-1}|} (x_k - x_{k-1}) = J^{-1} x_k \omega_k,$$

where ω_k is a symmetric matrix multiplier.

Denoting $\delta_k = |x_{k+1} - x_k|$, the system can be rewritten into the form

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = \delta_k v_{k+1}, \quad v_{k+1} - v_k = J^{-1} x_k \omega_k, \quad (6.18)$$

where

$$x_k^T J^{-1} x_k = \mathbf{I}_r, \quad \text{tr}(v_k^T v_k) = 1. \quad (6.19)$$

Note that the outgoing directions v_{k+1} satisfy the equations

$$(x_k + \delta_k v_{k+1})^T J^{-1} (x_k + \delta_k v_{k+1}) = \mathbf{I}_r, \quad \text{tr}(v_{k+1}^T v_{k+1}) = 1. \quad (6.20)$$

Thus, if (x_k, v_k) is known, by substituting the second equation of (6.18) to (6.20), we get the system which determine the multipliers (δ_k, ω_k) .

For $r = 1$ this is the classical billiard within the ellipsoid $E_{n,1}$. For this reason we refer to the system (6.18), (6.19) as a *billiard map* on the Stiefel variety $E_{n,r}$. The difficulty in studying the map, even in a totally symmetric case $J = \mathbf{I}_n$, is that the outgoing directions v_{k+1} belong to the variety (6.20) (projection of $V_{n,r}$ to the sphere in $M_{n,r}(\mathbb{R})$ centered at x_k), while in the case of the ellipsoidal billiard, they belong to the fixed unit sphere S^{n-1} .

That is why we introduce the *modified billiard map*

$$E_{n,r} \times V_{n,r} \longrightarrow E_{n,r} \times V_{n,r}$$

given by

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = y_{k+1} \Delta_k, \quad y_{k+1} - y_k = J^{-1} x_k \Omega_k, \quad (6.21)$$

where now "outgoing directions" y_k belong to the fixed Stiefel variety

$$x_k^T J^{-1} x_k = \mathbf{I}_r, \quad y_k^T y_k = \mathbf{I}_r. \quad (6.22)$$

The symmetric $r \times r$ matrix multipliers Δ_k, Ω_k are chosen from the conditions (6.22). The mapping given by (6.21), (6.22) and (6.18), (6.19) are multivalued for $r > 2$ and for $r = 1$ they just coincide.

One can easily observe that the modified billiard map (6.21) is actually a reformulation of the discrete Neumann system on $V_{n,r}$ with $A(\lambda_*) = J$ written in the Lagrange form (6.14). Indeed, if we identify

$$x_k = (-1)^k J^{1/2} X_{2k}, \quad y_k = (-1)^k X_{2k-1}, \quad \Delta_k = B_{2k+1}, \quad \Omega_k = -B_{2k}.$$

both equations in (6.21) give the same equation (6.14) with two subsequent indices k . For $r = 1$ this relation becomes the known relation between the billiard within the ellipsoid $E_{n,1}$ and the discrete Neumann system on S^{n-1} described in [41].

7 Conclusion

We have seen that the Neumann systems on $V_{n,r}$ (continuous and discrete) inherit or naturally generalize the basic properties of the classical Neumann system on S^{n-1} and, therefore, of the (odd) Jacobi–Mumford systems: the structure of the Lax matrices (symplectic), the spectral curve (with involution), the equations of motion, linearization on Abelian varieties, and, in the discrete case, the formula for the translation $\mathcal{T}$ on them.

For this reason, we believe that the Hamiltonian systems on $T^*V_{n,r}$ we consider or, more precisely, their $SO(r)$ -reductions onto the Poisson manifold $\Theta(T^*V_{n,r})$, represent one of the most natural matrix generalizations of the odd Jacobi–Mumford systems.

On the other hand, such generalizations are quite specific since, by construction, the corresponding matrix residua $\mathcal{N}_i$ in (4.1) have rank 1. So, it is also natural to consider extensions of the Neumann systems whose Lax matrices $L(\lambda)$ remain to be symplectic, but with residua of a higher rank at $\lambda = a_i$.

To reach a full generality, one can introduce the space $\mathcal{E}$ of three $r \times r$ matrix polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}(\lambda) &= \lambda^n \mathbf{I}_r + U_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + U_n, \\ \mathbf{V}(\lambda) &= V_0 \lambda^n + V_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + V_n, \\ \mathbf{W}(\lambda) &= \lambda^{n+1} \mathbf{I}_r + W_0 \lambda^n + W_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + W_n, \end{aligned}$$

where U_i, W_j are symmetric and V_0 is *skew-symmetric* arbitrary coefficients, and consider a hierarchy of flows on $\mathcal{E}$ given by the Lax pairs

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{L}(\lambda) = [\mathbb{L}(\lambda), \mathbb{N}(\lambda)], \quad \mathbb{L}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}(\lambda) & \mathbf{U}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{W}(\lambda) & -\mathbf{V}^T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{N}(\lambda)$ can be chosen in the form similar to (3.15)

$$\mathbb{N}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} V_0 & \mathbf{I}_r \\ \lambda \mathbf{I}_r + \Lambda & -V_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = W_0 - U_1,$$

which implies that V_0 is a matrix first integral of the flow. One can prove that in the case $V_0 = 0$ the matrix Λ satisfies a stationary reduction of one of the equations of the $r \times r$ symmetric matrix KdV hierarchy considered, in particular, in [6].

The spectral curve $\mathcal{S} = \{|\mathbb{L}(\lambda) - w\mathbf{I}_{2r}| = 0\}$ has the involution $\sigma : (\lambda, w) \rightarrow (\lambda, -w)$ and, as above, its complete regularization $\mathcal{S}'$ has r infinite points. It is then natural to conjecture that generic complex invariant manifolds of the flows on $\mathcal{E}$ are open subsets of non-compact extensions of the Prym varieties $\text{Prym}(\mathcal{S}', \sigma) \subset \text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$, and if $V_0 = 0$, such manifolds are the Prym varieties themselves.

Similarly, one can introduce a family of multi-valued maps

$$\mathbf{B}_{\lambda_*} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad (U_i, V_j, W_k) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{V}_j, \tilde{W}_k), \quad \lambda_* \in \mathbb{C}$$

defined by the intertwining relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(\lambda)M(\lambda, \lambda_*) &= M(\lambda, \lambda_*)\mathbb{L}(\lambda), \\ \mathbb{L}(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}(\lambda) & \mathbf{U}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{W}(\lambda) & -\mathbf{V}^T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(\lambda, \lambda_*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma & \mathbf{I}_r \\ (\lambda - \lambda_*)\mathbf{I}_r + \Gamma^2 & -\Gamma \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}(\lambda)$ depends on the polynomials $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\lambda)$ in the same way as $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$ depends on $\mathbf{U}(\lambda), \dots, \mathbf{W}(\lambda)$, and Γ is an $r \times r$ symmetric matrix determined from the compatibility of the left- and right hand sides of (7.1). This condition leads to the following $r \times r$ matrix quadratic equation

$$\Gamma \mathbf{U}(\lambda_*)\Gamma + \Gamma \mathbf{V}(\lambda_*) + \mathbf{V}^T(\lambda_*)\Gamma - \mathbf{W}(\lambda_*) = 0. \quad (7.2)$$

Once one of the 2^r solutions Γ of (7.2) is fixed by using Proposition 6.1, the map $\mathbf{B}_{\lambda_*}$ is defined uniquely. Like in the continuous case, one finds that $\tilde{V}_0 = -V_0^T$, which implies preservation of the skew-symmetric matrix V_0 under any branch of $\mathbf{B}_{\lambda_*}$. It is expected that the branches of $\mathbf{B}_{\lambda_*}$ are given by translations on $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{S}')$ described by Theorem 6.6.

One can also introduce natural matrix analogs of *even* Jacobi–Mumford systems by constructing integrable $2r \times 2r$ matrix generalizations of the Ragnisco systems given by (2.25) and (2.26).

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to M. Alberich for making independently a series of hard calculations of the singularities of the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}'$ and of its genus. We also thank L. Gavrilov and J. C. Naranjo for valuable remarks.

Y.F acknowledges support of the Spanish MINECO-FEDER Grants MTM2012-31714, MTM2012-37070. The research of B. J. was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Project 174020, Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, Classical Mechanics and Integrable Dynamical Systems.

References

- [1] Adams, M. R., Harnad, J., Previato, E.: Isospectral Hamiltonian flows in finite and infinite dimensions. I. Generalized Moser systems and moment maps into loop algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) no. 3, 451–500.
- [2] Adams, M. R., Harnad, J., Hurtubise, J.: Isospectral Hamiltonian flows in finite and infinite dimensions II. Integration of flows. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **134** (1990) 555–585.
- [3] Adams, M.R., Harnad, J., Hurtubise, J.: Darboux coordinates on coadjoint orbits of Lie algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **40** (1997) 41–57.
- [4] Adler, M., van Moerbeke, P.: Birkhoff strata, Bäcklund transformations and regularization of isospectral operators. *Advances in Math.* **108** (1994) 140–204.
- [5] Adler, M., van Moerbeke, P., Vanhecke, P.: *Algebraic Integrability, Painleve Geometry and Lie Algebras*, Springer, 2004.
- [6] Athorne, C., Fordy, A., Generalized KdV and mKdV equations associated with symmetric spaces. *J. Phys.A.* **20** (1987) 1377–1386
- [7] Belokolos E.D., Bobenko A.I., Enol’sii V.Z., Its A.R., and Matveev V.B. *Algebro-Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations*. Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics. Springer–Verlag 1994.
- [8] Bloch, A. M., Crouch, P. E., Sanyal, A. K.: A variational problem on Stiefel manifolds. *Nonlinearity*, **19** (2006) 2247–2276, arXiv:math/0609038.
- [9] Beauville, A.: Prym varieties and the Schottky problem. *Invent. Math.* **41** (1977) no. 2, 149–196.
- [10] Beauville, A.: Jacobiennes des courbes spectrales et syst‘emes hamiltoniens completement integrables. *Acta Math.* **164** (1990) 211–235.
- [11] Bogoyavlenski, O. I.: New integrable problem of classical mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **94** (1984) 255–269.
- [12] Casas-Alvero, E.: *Singularities of plane curves*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series. **276** Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [13] Clebsch A., Gordan P.: *Theorie der abelschen Funktionen*. Teubner, Leipzig. 1866
- [14] Eilbeck J., Enol’ski V., Kuznetzov V., Tsiganov A.: Linear R -matrix algebra for classical separable systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994) 567–578.
- [15] Dirac, P. A.: On generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. *Can. J. Math.* **2** (1950) no.2, 129–148.

- [16] Dragovic, V, Gajic, B.: The Lagrange bitop on $so(4) \times so(4)$ and geometry of the Prym varieties, *American J. of Math.*, **126** (2004) no. 5, 981–1004, arXiv:math-ph/0201036.
- [17] Dubrovin, B. A.: Completely integrable Hamiltonian systems associated with matrix operators and Abelian varieties. *Funkts.Anal.Prilozh.* **11** (1977) 28–41. English transl.: *Funct.Anal.Appl.* **11** (1978) 265–277.
- [18] Dubrovin, B. A., Novikov, S. P., Krichever, I. M.: *Integrable Systems. I. Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Sovr.Probl.Mat. Fund.Naprav.* Vol.4, VINITI, Moscow 1985. English transl.: *Encyclopaedia of Math.Sciences*, Vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [19] Fay, J.: *Theta-functions on Riemann Surfaces*. Springer Lecture Notes **352**, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [20] Fedorov, Yu.: Classical integrable systems related to generalized Jacobians. *Acta Appl. Math.* **55** (1999) no. 3, 151–201.
- [21] Fedorov, Yu.: Bäcklund transformations on coadjoint orbits of the loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}(r)$. Recent advances in integrable systems (Kowloon, Hong Kong, 2000). *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **9** (2002), suppl. 1, 29–46.
- [22] Fedorov, Yu.: Integrable flows and Bäcklund transformations on extended Stiefel varieties with application to the Euler top on the Lie group $SO(3)$. *J. Non. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005) Suppl. 2, 77–94, arXiv:nlin/0505045.
- [23] Fedorov, Yu., Jovanović, B.: Geodesic Flows and Neumann Systems on Stiefel Varieties: geometry and integrability. *Math. Z.* **270** (2012) no. 3-4, 659–698, arXiv:1011.1835.
- [24] Fedorov, Yu., Jovanović, B.: Three Natural Mechanical Systems on Stiefel Varieties, *J. Phys. A.* (2012) 165204 (13pp), arXiv:1202.1660.
- [25] Fernandes, R. L., Vanhaecke, P.: Hyperelliptic Prym varieties and integrable systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) no. 1, 169–196, arXiv:math-ph/0011051.
- [26] Gavrilov, L.: Generalized Jacobians of spectral curves and completely integrable systems. *Math. Z.* **230** (1999) no. 3, 487–508.
- [27] Gavrilov, L.: Jacobians of singularized spectral curves and completely integrable systems. In: The Kowalevski property (Leeds, 2000), 59–68, *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, **32**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, arXiv:math/0111235.
- [28] Jensen, G.: Einstein metrics on principal fiber bundles, *J. Diff. Geom.* **8**(1973) 599–614.

- [29] Hone, A.N., Kuznetsov, V.B., Ragnisco, O.: Bäcklund transformations for many-body systems related to KdV. *J. Phys. A*, **32** (1999) L299–L306, arXiv:solv-int/9904003.
- [30] Inoue, R., Konishi, Y., Yamazaki, T.: Jacobian variety and integrable system—after Mumford, Beauville and Vanhaecke. *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2007) no. 3, 815–831.
- [31] Jovanović, B.: Symmetries and integrability, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **84 (98)** (2008) 1–36, arXiv:0812.4398.
- [32] Jovanović, B.: Heisenberg model in pseudo-Euclidean spaces, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*. **19** (2014) 245–250, arXiv:1405.0905.
- [33] Kapustin, S.: The Neumann system on Stiefel varieties. Preprint 1992 (Russian).
- [34] Kirwan, F.: *Complex algebraic curves*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 23. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [35] Krichiver, I. Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of non-linear equations. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **32**, no. 6, 198–213 (1977)
- [36] Kuznetsov, V., Vanhaecke, P.: Bäcklund transformations for finite-dimensional integrable systems: a geometric approach. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** (2002) no. 1, 1–40, arXiv:nlin/0004003.
- [37] Marsden, J. E., Montgomery, R., Ratiu, T.: *Reduction, symmetry, and phases in mechanics*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, **88** No. 436 (1990).
- [38] Mishchenko, A. S., Fomenko, A. T.: Generalized Liouville method of integration of Hamiltonian systems, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **12** (1978) no.2, 46–56 (Russian); English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12** (1978) 113–121.
- [39] van Moerbeke, P; Mumford, D.: The spectrum of difference operators and algebraic curves. *Acta Math.* **143** (1979) no. 1-2, 93–154.
- [40] Moser, J.: Geometry of quadric and spectral theory. In: Chern Symposium 1979, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 147–188, 1980.
- [41] Moser, J., Veselov, A.: Discrete versions of some classical integrable systems and factorization of matrix polynomials *Comm. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991) 217–243.
- [42] Mumford, D.: *Tata Lectures on Theta II*. Progress in Math., Birkhauser, 1984.
- [43] Nekhoroshev, N. N.: Action-angle variables and their generalization, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. O.-va.* **26** (1972) 181–198, (Russian); English translation: *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* **26** (1972) 180–198.

- [44] Neumann, C.: De probleme quodam mechanico, quod ad primam integralium ultra-ellipticorum classem revocatum. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **56** (1859).
- [45] Perelomov, A. M.: Some remarks on the integrability of the equations of motion of a rigid body in an ideal fluid, *Funkt. Anal. Prilozh* **15** (1981), no. 2, 83-85 (Russian); English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **15** (1981), 144-146.
- [46] Pedroni, M., Vanhaecke, P.: A Lie algebraic generalization of the Mumford system, its symmetries and its multi-Hamiltonian structure. J. Moser at 70 *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* **3** (1998) no. 3, 132-160.
- [47] Potter, J.: Matrix quadratic solutions. *J. SIAM Appl. Math.* **14** (1966) no. 3, 496-501.
- [48] Previato, E.: Flows on r -gonal Jacobians. The legacy of Sonya Kovalevskaya (Cambridge, Mass., and Amherst, Mass., 1985), 153-180, *Contemp. Math.*, **64**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987
- [49] Ragnisco O.: A discrete Neumann system. *Phys.Lett.A.* **167** (1992), 165-171.
- [50] Ragnisco, O., Suris, Yu.: On the r -matrix structure of the Neumann system. In: *Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems*. Eds.: A.S.Fokas, I.M.Gelfand. Progr. in Nonl. Diff. Eq., **26**, Birkhäuser-Boston.
- [51] Reyman, A. G., Semonov-Tian-Shanski, M. A.: *Group theoretical methods in the theory of finite dimensional integrable systems*. In: Dynamical systems VII Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Sovr.Probl.Mat. Fund.Napprav. Vol.**16**, VINITI, Moscow 1987 (Russian). English transl.: Encyclopaedia of Math.Sciences, Vol. **16**, Springer 1994.
- [52] Serre, J. P.: Groupes algébriques et corps de classes. Hermann, Paris, 1959.
- [53] Schilling, R. J.: Generalizations of the Neumann system. A curve theoretical approach. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989) no. 4, 409-442.
- [54] Suris, Yu. B.: *The problem of integrable discretization: Hamiltonian approach*. Progress in Mathematics, **219**. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [55] Vanhaecke P.: *Integrable Systems in the Realm of Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Lecture Notes. **1638**, 1996.
- [56] Veselov, A.P.: Integrable discrete-time systems and difference operators. *Funct. An. and Appl.* **22** (1988) 83-94.
- [57] Veselov, A. P.: Integrable maps, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **46** (5) (1991) 1-51.
- [58] Vivolo, O.: Jacobians of singular spectral curves and completely integrable systems. *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.* **43** (2000) no. 2, 605-623.